1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT						
3	OF PENNSYLVANIA						
4	* * * * * * *						
5		*					
6	MARTIN J. WALSH, SECRETARY OF LABOR,	*					
7	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,	*					
8	Plaintiffs	*	Case No.				
9	vs.	*	2:21-CV-1391				
10	INTRA-NATIONAL HOME CARE, LLC, and	*					
11	DILLI ADHIKARI, *						
12	Defendants	*					
13		*					
14	* * * * * * *						
15							
16	DEPOSITION						
17	OF						
18	JOHN R. LINKOSKY						
19	March 22, 2023						
20							
21							
22							
23	Any reproduction of this tran	scr	ipt				
24	is prohibited without authori	zat	ion				
25	by the certifying agency	•					



1	DEPOSITION
2	OF
3	JOHN R. LINKOSKY, taken on behalf of the Plaintiffs
4	herein, pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure,
5	taken before me, the undersigned, Benjamin Morrow, a
6	Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at the law offices of
8	Obermayer, Rebmann, Maxwell and Hippel, LLP, 525
9	William Penn Place, Suite 1710, Pittsburgh,
LO	Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, March 22, 2023, beginning
L1	at 10:00 a.m.
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	APPEARANCES					
2	ANDREA LUBY, ESQUIRE					
3	U.S. Department of Labor					
4	Office of the Solicitor					
5	Philadelphia, PA 19103-2968					
6	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS					
7	VIA TELEPHONE					
8						
9	BRUCE FOX, ESQUIRE					
10	Obermayer, Rebmann, Maxwell and Hippel, LLP					
11	525 William Penn Place, Suite 1710					
12	Pittsburgh, PA 15219					
13	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS					
14						
15	HARRY R. RUPRECHT, ESQUIRE					
16	Fort Pitt Commons Building					
17	445 Fort Pitt Boulevard, Suite 400					
18	Pittsburgh, PA 15219					
19	COUNSEL FOR DEPONENT					
20						
21	Also Present:					
22	Hugh McKeegan					
23	Brian Heeter					
24	Halim Nardin					
25	Bill February					



	VV/LEGIT V. HATTO CHARTETTO IN LETTE CHARLE	٦
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS: JOHN R. LINKOSKY	
4	EXAMINATION	
5	By Attorney Luby 7 - 111	
6	EXAMINATION	
7	By Attorney Fox 111 - 113	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1			EXHIBITS	
2				PAGE
3	NUMBER		DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED
4	Exhibit	22	ADP Records	97
5	Exhibit	23	Intra-National Records	98
6	Exhibit	24	Earning Statement	99
7	Exhibit	25	Earning Record	100
8	Exhibit	28	Hours Worked Table	70
9	Exhibit	29	2/3/20 E-mail	50
10	Exhibit	30	3/3/20 E-mail	57
11	Exhibit	31	5/15/20 E-mail	58
12	Exhibit	32	6/3/20 E-mail	64
13	Exhibit	34	6/4/20 E-mail	65
14	Exhibit	36	Rate Change Agreement	68
15	Exhibit	39	2/14/23 E-mail	93
16	Exhibit	40	10/13/21 E-mail	86
17	Exhibit	41	9/30/21 E-mail	79
18	Exhibit	42	9/23/21 E-mail	77
19	Exhibit	45	6/22/20 E-mail	72
20	Exhibit	46	2/14/23 E-mail	76
21				
22			NONE ATTACHED	
23				
24				
25				



			VIE 0/ \	· _				
1		ОВЈ	E C	T I	O N	S		
2								
3	ATTORNEY							PAGE
4	Fox			24,	24,	25,	25, 25, 40), 42,
5				85,	89,	95,	102, 103,	109
6	Luby			112				
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								



1	STIPULATION				
2					
3	(It is hereby stipulated by and between Counsel of				
4	Record that all objections, except as to the form of				
5	the question, are reserved until the time of trial,				
6	and it is further stipulated that the reading and				
7	signing of the deposition is not waived.)				
8					
9	PROCEEDINGS				
10					
11	JOHN R. LINKOSKY,				
12	CALLED AS A WITNESS IN THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDING, AND				
13	HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AND SAID AS				
14	FOLLOWS:				
15					
16	EXAMINATION				
17					
18	BY ATTORNEY LUBY:				
19	Q.Good morning, Mr. Linkoski.				
20	We met before, but my name is Andrea Luby and I'm				
21	counsel for the US Department of labor in this case. I				
22	have a couple of law students, interns who are				
23	listening in today, Halim Nardin and Billy February.				
24	Co-Counsel on this case with me is Matthew				
25	Talman. He has some other commitments today, but may				



- 1 be listening in for part of the deposition.
- 2 | I know you're familiar with deposition
- 3 | procedures, but I'm just going to put on the record
- 4 | that the court reporter is creating a transcript of
- 5 everything that we say today. Makes it important that
- 6 you respond to questions verbal, and that verbal
- 7 responses are clear. Yes or no instead of uh-huh or
- 8 | uh-uh. Please try to wait for the end of my question
- 9 before you respond. If I ask you a question that's
- 10 | not clear, please ask me to rephrase it. I'm happy to
- 11 do that.
- 12 | We'll take reasonable breaks. I usually take a
- 13 | break about once an hour. If we go, probably past ---
- 14 | we'll take a lunch break. But if you need a break
- other than those times, please just let me know.
- 16 There could be objections during this proceeding
- and if that happens, I'll go ahead and discuss that
- 18 | with opposing Counsel and so we'll get back to you
- 19 | with the question.
- 20 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 21 Mr. Fox, you're in the room. Do you
- 22 | want to note your presence on the record?
- 23 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 24 | Yeah. And we also have Counsel here for
- John Linkosky. I'm present on behalf of Defendants.



- 1 With me is Hugh McKeegan who will be sitting in for a
- 2 | little while.
- 3 | ATTORNEY RUPRECHT:
- 4 I'm Harry Ruprecht and I'm here for Mr.
- 5 Linkosky.
- 6 ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 9 And, of course, we have Brian Heeter in
- 10 | the room as well.
- 11 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 12 Mr. Ruprecht, can you identify your firm
- 13 | and your address?
- 14 ATTORNEY RUPRECHT:
- 15 I'm of Counsel with Beyer and Beyer and
- 16 | Beyer, and I also have my own practice.
- 17 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 18 | And it's at ET?
- 19 | ATTORNEY RUPRECHT:
- 20 | I'm at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- 21 ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 22 | Okay. Thanks.
- 23 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 24 Q.Mr. Linkosky, do you have any documents in front
- 25 of you on the table?



- 1 A.No, just a blank padding.
- 2 | Q.Okay.
- 3 | And did you bring any documents with you today to
- 4 | the deposition?
- 5 | A.No, I did not.
- 6 Q.All right.
- 7 | Can you hear me clearly?
- 8 A.I can hear you, yes.
- 9 Q.And are you feeling healthy enough to testify
- 10 today?
- 11 | A.I believe so.
- 12 | Q.And is there any reason why you might not be able
- 13 | to give truthful testimony today?
- 14 A.Not that I can think of.
- 15 | Q.Are you represented today by Mr. Fox or Mr.
- 16 | Keegan?
- 17 | A.No.
- 18 | Q.But you are represented by Mr. Ruprecht.
- 19 | Correct?
- 20 A.Yes.
- 21 Q.Okay.
- 22 Other than Mr. Ruprecht, did you speak with
- 23 | anyone to prepare for today's deposition?
- 24 A.No.
- 25 Q.Did you review any documents to prepare for this



- 1------
- 1 | deposition?
- 2 A.No.
- 3 | Q.Just put some of your background on the record.
- 4 Describe your educational history for me.
- 5 A. How far back?
- 6 | Q.Let's start with your college degree.
- 7 A.I have a degree in business administration from
- 8 | Wilkes University in Wilkesboro, Pennsylvania. And I
- 9 have a degree, a law degree, from Duquesne University
- 10 of Pittsburgh.
- 11 | Q.How long have you been practicing law?
- 12 | A.Approximately 30 years, since 1992.
- 13 Q.And when did you start working with the US
- 14 Department of Labor Wage and Hour Division as an
- 15 employee?
- 16 A.August of 1961.
- 17 Q.What was your first position with Wage and Hour?
- 18 A.I was initially an investigator.
- 19 Q.Did you have your law degree at that time?
- 20 A.No.
- 21 Q.What year did you get your law degree?
- 22 | A.'92. 1992.
- 23 Q.How long were you an investigator?
- 24 A.Exclusively as an investigator, about five years.
- 25 There was a period of time when I was called an



- 1 enforcement officer. I got promoted to an Assistant
- 2 District Director. It was the title at the time.
- 3 They keep changing the titles of the offices. I had
- 4 | the same job as Brian Heeter after five years.
- 5 | Q.You reported to the District director at that
- 6 | time?
- 7 A.Yes, whatever I was. Yes.
- 8 Q.Do you remember who the first District Director
- 9 | was that you worked under?
- 10 | A.Joseph Cassidy in Wilkes-Barre.
- 11 | Q.Sorry?
- 12 | A.Joseph Cassidy in Wilkes-Barre.
- 13 Q.Okay.
- 14 | So you were an AD. You were a middle manager in
- 15 | the Wilkes-Barre office?
- 16 A.No, I was not. No, I was not.
- 17 | Q.You were in Pittsburgh?
- 18 A. Yeah. I'll give you a history if you want me to.
- 19 Q.Sure, yeah. Go ahead.
- 20 A.I trained in Wilkes-Barre. I was transferred to
- 21 | Baltimore, and I was there until 1965. And I got
- 22 | promoted to Assistant District Director in Pittsburgh.
- 23 | I reported to Hyman Richmond. Mr. Richmond retired.
- 24 | I reported to John H. O'Brien. About that time, I was
- 25 | promoted to be the District Director in Charleston,



- 1 | West Virginia. I'm Sorry. It was about 1968. And I
- 2 took my family down there and made the decision that I
- 3 | didn't want to inflict West Virginia on my family.
- 4 And I came back to Pittsburgh as Assistant District
- 5 Director, and I finished up here, retired in 1992.
- 6 | I started law school in Duquesne before that.
- 7 Went to night school, and I graduated in 1992 and I
- 8 retired and started ---.
- 9 Q.Congratulations.
- 10 A.Pardon?
- 11 | Q.What did you do after 1992?
- 12 | A.I started practicing law.
- 13 | Q.Were you a sole proprietor?
- 14 A.Yes.
- 15 | Q.And what was the name of your firm when you
- 16 | started it? What was the name of your practice?
- 17 A.John Linkosky and Associates.
- 18 | Q.And who was your associate?
- 19 | A.I was the associate.
- 20 Q.Okay.
- 21 | So just to confirm ---.
- 22 A.My son graduated from law school six years before
- 23 | I did.
- 24 Q.Did your son join your practice?
- 25 A.It's not like that. It wasn't like that. We



- 1 practiced individually.
- 2 Q.Okay.
- 3 And that's your son, John Linkosky?
- 4 A.Yes.
- 5 | O.Did you focus on any particular areas of law when
- 6 | you became a sole practitioner?
- 7 A.Employment law, essentially limited to Fair Labor
- 8 | Standards Act, the related acts, the Wage and Hour
- 9 Division forces, the government contract acts. That
- 10 was Bacon, other government contract acts. I think
- 11 | the Wage and Hour forces were about 70 some different
- 12 | pieces of legislation, because what's drawn in there
- 13 | is all the legislation for the government contracts.
- 14 | I also did age discrimination. I did the first
- 15 | age discrimination and industry in the Act for
- 16 | successful age discrimination history in the Act. I
- 17 | also was very active in equal pay before I was an
- 18 | attorney opposed to those things. I have --- I think
- 19 | I have one equal pay case since I've been practicing.
- 20 | Mostly estate, double wage and prevailing wage and ???
- 21 (9:52) and Prevailing Wage Acts.
- 22 | Q.And do you still actively practice law?
- 23 A.Yeah. Yes.
- 24 Q.Did you say you won that age discrimination case
- 25 | last year?



- 1 A.Not last year. In 1968. I did --- I did the
- 2 | first age discrimination investigation under the Act
- 3 and it was successfully resolved. I have a letter
- 4 from Secretary congratulating me.
- 5 | Q.Okay. Thank you for letting me know that.
- 6 Do you have experience representing health care
- 7 companies?
- 8 A.No.
- 9 | O.No?
- 10 A.No, I don't have experience representing home
- 11 | care companies.
- 12 | Q.What about international?
- 13 A.I have no experience representing International.
- 14 Intra-National, by the way. I-N-T-R-A.
- 15 Q.Do you have experience representing Intra-
- 16 | National?
- 17 | A.No. No.
- 18 Q.I mean, it is right. So you're familiar with the
- 19 Defendant in this case?
- 20 A.Correct.
- 21 | Q.You've seen the caption?
- 22 A.Yes.
- 23 | O.And the Defendant --- one of the defendants in
- 24 | this case is Intra-National Home Care, LLC.
- 25 | Correct?



16

- 1 A.As I understand it, yes.
- 2 | Q.What's your relationship with Intra-National Home
- 3 | Care, LLC?
- 4 | A.I was hired by Obermayer. I can't think of the
- 5 other two names, to consult on wage and hour and Fair
- 6 | Labor Standards Act and state and overtime act
- 7 | situations during that period of time. I didn't ???
- $8 \mid (11:57)$ the issue of ---.
- 9 | Q.Okay.
- 10 | So you were a consultant with Obermayer.
- 11 | Is that right?
- 12 A.Yes, yes.
- 13 | O.And in that role you interacted with Intra-
- 14 | National?
- 15 A.I don't think that's exactly right. I did not
- 16 | have direct interaction with Intra-National.
- 17 | O.Did you have direct interaction with someone
- 18 | named Meg Subedi, S-U-B-E-D-I?
- 19 | A.I met Meg Subedi at times, yes.
- 20 | O.And you exchanged emails with him?
- 21 A.Yes, I did several emails.
- 22 | Q.And you had interaction with Dilli Adhikari whose
- 23 | name appears on the caption?
- 24 A.Yes. Yes, I did.
- 25 | Q.And that was through email?



- 1 | A.I don't recall emails with Mr. Adhikari
- 2 | Q.How did you interact with him then?
- 3 A.I didn't interact with him very much, and the
- 4 term interact seems very much more broad. We talked
- 5 | about that I would be involved, I would be there. I
- 6 | don't know about if I ever mentioned ---.
- 7 | Q.You had meetings where he was in attendance?
- 8 A.Yes.
- 9 Q.Did you have any conference calls where he was on
- 10 | the line?
- 11 | A.I don't recall that.
- 12 Q. You spoke more frequently with Mr. Subedi.
- 13 A.I wouldn't characterize it as frequently.
- 14 O.More often than you spoke with Mr. Adhikari.
- 15 | Right?
- 16 A.I can't define that. I can't say that.
- 17 Q. Have you been consulting with Obermayer about the
- 18 | Intra-National litigation since 2018?
- 19 A.Yes, although contact with state, Pennsylvania
- 20 State ??? (14:53).
- 21 Q.Now, are you talking about the state
- 22 | investigation of Intra-national or state laws more
- 23 generally?
- 24 A.I would say state laws more generally.
- 25 Q.Okay.



- 1 | So you --- what year did you begin your role as a
- 2 | consultant with Obermayer?
- 3 A.2018.
- 4 | Q.What prompted you to join Obermeyer at that time?
- 5 A.An invitation to do so.
- 6 | Q.They reached out to you?
- 7 A.As far as I remember, yes.
- 8 Q.Is that the same year that you were contacted by
- 9 a manager of Intra-National.
- 10 A.I don't know other than --- I don't remember when
- 11 | I was contacted. I'm not clear.
- 12 | Q. Have you given Intra-National any legal advice
- 13 about the Fair Labor Standards Act.
- 14 | A.Define legal advice.
- 15 | O. Have you given them any advice at all about the
- 16 | Fair Labor Standards Act?
- 17 A.It's difficult to answer because the word advice
- 18 | is broad. I can't answer the question.
- 19 Q.Okay.
- 20 Did you have any conversation with any managers
- 21 at Intra-National about the Fair Labor Standards Act?
- 22 | A.Okay.
- 23 | Well, first of all, define managers. Define who
- 24 you mean as managers.
- 25 | Q.Let's focus in on Meg Subedi and Dilli Adhikari.



- 1 | Did you have any conversation with Meg Subedi ---
- 2 | A.Regarding what?
- 3 Q.--- any conversations about the Fair Labor
- 4 | Standards Act?
- 5 A.Yes.
- 6 Q.Yes? And during those conversations, did you
- 7 | communicate to Mr. Subedi that you were not a lawyer
- 8 | for Intra-National, that there was no attorney/client
- 9 | relationship?
- 10 A.I don't think that ever came up.
- 11 | Q.I'm sorry. I didn't get that.
- 12 | A.I'm sorry about my voice, but I don't think that
- 13 | issue ever arose. Nobody ever asked me that question.
- 14 Q.Okay. Okay.
- 15 Do you attend the deposition of Mr. Adhikari in
- 16 | this case?
- 17 | A.Which one?
- 18 | Q.The case where the caption appears on your
- 19 | subpoena. Did you attend Mr. Adhikari's deposition in
- 20 | this case?
- 21 A.No.
- 22 | Q.Did you attend any depositions in this case?
- 23 | A.I attended one.
- 24 O.Which one? Sorry, go ahead.
- 25 A.I don't remember the date, but I did attend ---



- 1 | but I think it was the first one.
- 2 | Q.Do you recall the name of the witness?
- 3 A.Mr. Adhikari. You asked about that.
- 4 | Q.I'm trying to understand the scope of your
- 5 relationship with the Defendants in this case. Why
- 6 | did you attend Mr. Adhikari's deposition?
- 7 A.I was asked to.
- 8 Q.Who asked you?
- 9 A.Obermayer.
- 10 Q.Did they say why they wanted you to attend?
- 11 | A.Not that I recall.
- 12 | Q.Were you paid for your attendance?
- 13 A.I'm not sure. I'm not sure.
- 14 | Q.What's the first time --- tell me about your
- 15 | first --- tell me about the first time that you spoke
- 16 | with Mr. Subedi.
- 17 | A.Oh, I don't remember that.
- 18 | Q.How did you come to be involved at all with
- 19 | Intra-National?
- 20 A.I was asked by Obermayer to look into some
- 21 things.
- 22 | Q.Okay.
- 23 | What did they ask you to look at?
- 24 A.Well, I looked at the Intra-National payroll for
- 25 June or July 2018, which showed how they were paying



- 1 direct care workers.
- 2 Q.How were they paying direct care workers?
- 3 A. They were paying hourly. Well, that record
- 4 | showed two different things. The first three or four
- 5 | pay periods, they were paying straight time. After
- 6 | that, they began to pay overtime at an hourly rate of
- 7 | time and a half.
- 8 | Q.Do you notice any fluctuations in the hourly rate
- 9 after they came to that being paid overtime?
- 10 A.Well, that occasion was when my job investigator
- 11 | was with me. He was looking at the records at the
- 12 | same time. And I did see --- well, he pointed out to
- 13 | me a pay period that was different than the others.
- 14 | The others were clear so many hours overtime, after
- 15 | the 80 hours, at time and a half. And then down after
- 16 about three months or so, there was one that was
- 17 | hidden.
- 18 | Q.That was Mr. Ablia?
- 19 A.I don't remember seeing.
- 20 | O.What was different?
- 21 A.The system. No --- what the obligation --- I
- 22 | can't say it that way. The hours were fixed. The
- 23 | number of hours worked in a biweekly pay period were
- 24 fixed. And whatever the fixed hours were worked on
- 25 | that pay period, by those pay periods, time and a half



- 1 | which were paid at a specific rate for the hours over
- 2 | 80. And that pay period, there was one or two hours
- 3 | worked beyond the fixed hours, and the rate was
- 4 reduced from time and a half pay to at the reduced
- 5 rate.
- 6 Q.Did you have any conversations with anyone at
- 7 | Intra-National about that practice?
- 8 A.The investigator pointed it out to me as wrong.
- 9 And after ---.
- 10 | Q.Did you agree that it's wrong?
- 11 | A.No, I didn't because there were some questions to
- 12 | as to whether --- at that time, there were some
- 13 questions. Let me tell you something. I would never
- 14 | agree with the Wage and Hour investigators, say and
- 15 | agree that it was wrong at that kind of situation. I
- 16 just wouldn't do that. I know what he was talking
- 17 | about and I just didn't comment.
- 18 Q.Okay.
- 19 | Given your expertise in Fair Labor Standards ---
- 20 the Fair Labor Standards Act, was it your opinion that
- 21 | adjusting an employer's --- an employee's hourly rate
- 22 of pay inverse to the number of hours that employee
- 23 | worked would violate the statute?
- 24 | A.I don't jump to opinions. I take my time and I
- 25 know the situation. I found out whether or not it was



- 1 | violate. But at that time wouldn't commit myself to
- 2 one way or the other.
- 3 | Q.So you didn't have an opinion at that time, even
- 4 your ---
- 5 A.No, no. I didn't have an opinion. I had what
- 6 were alleged to be facts and the determination as to
- 7 | whether those facts met the Fair Labor Standards Act
- 8 | was something I had to consider.
- 9 Q.Do you have an opinion on that now?
- 10 A.I found a case that was decided in 2022 saying
- 11 | that was a violation in ??? (24:37).
- 12 | Q.What was the name of that case?
- 13 | A.I can't remember.
- 14 Q.Was it Comfort Care?
- 15 | A.No.
- 16 | 0.0kay.
- 17 Do you remember if it was from Pennsylvania?
- 18 A.I don't remember. I don't remember where it was
- 19 | from. No, it wasn't Pennsylvania.
- 20 Q.Based on that --- say it again.
- 21 A.It wasn't Pennsylvania. It was somewhere else.
- 22 | Q.Based on that case that you reviewed, did you
- 23 | reach an opinion about whether Intra-National's pay
- 24 | practice was in compliance with the Fair Labor
- 25 | Standards Act?



- 1 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 2 | Objection to form.
- 3 | THE WITNESS:
- 4 | I did some further inquiry because
- 5 | somebody brought up the Supply, which is cited in the
- 6 | Interpretive Bulletin 778. And I looked at that,
- 7 decided the situation did not match. And I talked to
- 8 | ??? (25:44) at that point. I have never had a clear
- 9 explanation for the Wage and Hour Division, other than
- 10 | you mentioned ??? as to why that was wrong.
- 11 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 12 | Q.Why what was wrong?
- 13 A.Why reducing the rate for the hour to their work
- 14 | was wrong.
- 15 | O.And what about reducing the rate anytime that
- 16 | more or fewer hours are worked in a pay period?
- 17 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 18 | Objection, form.
- 19 | THE WITNESS:
- 20 | It talks about a single pay period. It
- 21 | talks about the right of an employer to change the
- 22 rate of pay.
- 23 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 24 | Q.I'm talking about multiple pay periods. Say an
- 25 employee works 100 hours in one biweekly pay period,



- 1 and the next day period they were working 120, and the
- 2 | next day period they worked 100, and the next pay
- 3 period they work 120. The regular rate was adjusted
- 4 each of those pay periods.
- 5 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 6 | Objection to form.
- 7 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 8 Q.Do you have an opinion about whether that's
- 9 legal?
- 10 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 11 | Objection to form.
- 12 | THE WITNESS:
- 13 | That's a theoretical question. I can't
- 14 | answer whether it's legal or not. Making judgments as
- 15 to whether or not, ??? (27:09)
- 16 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 17 | Q.Okay.
- 18 Do you believe in general that calculating the
- 19 | hourly rate of pay based on the total number of hours
- 20 | worked in a pay period and adjusting that regularly
- 21 | would violate the FLSA?
- 22 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 23 | Objection to form.
- 24 | THE WITNESS:
- 25 | I have never seen that happen.



- 1 | BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 2 | Q.Do you recall meeting with Mr. Adhikari at a
- 3 | Double Tree Hotel?
- 4 | A.Double Tree Hotel where?
- 5 Q.I believe Cranberry, Ohio.
- 6 A.I met with Mr. Adhikari and Mr. Fox at that
- 7 hotel.
- 8 | Q.So I'm sorry. Are you saying I did recall the
- 9 meeting?
- 10 | A.I don't recall that meeting in any kind of
- 11 detail. I just know that it happened.
- 12 | Q.Okay.
- 13 Do you recall the topic of the meeting?
- 14 A.As I said, I don't recall in detail what the
- 15 | topic was.
- 16 | O.Do you recall in general?
- 17 | A.What?
- 18 | Q.What do you recall about the topic?
- 19 | A.As I say, I don't --- I don't have much
- 20 recollection about any single topic. Excuse me. I
- 21 | don't have any recollection about any single topic
- 22 | that was discussed if --- I just ??? (28:58).
- 23 | Q.Did you keep any notes from any of your meetings
- 24 | with Intra-National?
- 25 | A.That's not my practice. No, I did not.



- 1 | O.Did you send billing records to Obermayer for
- 2 | your meetings with Intra-National?
- 3 | A.I charged Obermayer ??? (29:35)
- 4 | Q.And make invoices for those charges?
- 5 | A.I don't make invoices.
- 6 | O.What kind of records ---?
- 7 A.Excuse me. What do you mean by invoices? I was
- 8 | paid for the hours I worked, period.
- 9 Q.Okay.
- 10 | That was in writing?
- 11 | A.No, it wasn't in writing.
- 12 | Q.How did you make the claim then?
- 13 | A.It was typed.
- 14 | Q.It was tracked?
- 15 | A.Typed. T-Y-P-E-D.
- 16 | O.Oh. Okay. How was it timed?
- 17 | A.I'm sorry?
- 18 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 19 He said it was typed. Typed.
- 20 | THE WITNESS:
- 21 | With a typewriter.
- 22 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 23 Q.Typed. Okay.
- 24 | Do you type it yourself?
- 25 | A.No.



- 1 | O.Who typed it?
- 2 A.My secretary.
- 3 | Q.When did you retain a secretary?
- 4 | A.From my grandfather.
- 5 | Q.Of those typed documents?
- 6 A.I don't understand the question.
- 7 | Q.I assume they were typed. They were typed on
- 8 paper.
- 9 | Is that right?
- 10 | A.Oh, sure.
- 11 | Q.Do you have copies of those papers?
- 12 | A.Not for many. I don't keep those.
- 13 | Q.Did the typed records include a description of
- 14 the work that you performed during those hours?
- 15 A.What do you mean by description?
- 16 Q.Words talking about what you performed.
- 17 A.Not in detail.
- 18 Q. How was your work described on those typed
- 19 | documents?
- 20 A.Just typed.
- 21 Q.Did it say anything about what you did in, say,
- 22 legal research or conversations with the employer or
- 23 | review of records?
- 24 A. There would be --- there would be such as that.
- 25 Q.About how many times have you met with Mr.



- 1 | Subedi?
- 2 A.I can't tell. I can't give you. It's hard to
- 3 | tell the amount of time.
- 4 | O.More than five?
- 5 | A.I don't know. I can't tell you. I couldn't give
- 6 you any reasonable answer to that.
- 7 | Q.More than 75?
- 8 A.Of course not. Of course not.
- 9 | 0.0f course not?
- 10 | A.No.
- 11 | Q.During your meetings with Mr. Subedi, what were
- 12 | the general topics of those meetings?
- 13 | A.I only --- I only had conversations with Mr.
- 14 | Subedi about practices of Intra-National --- specific
- 15 | practices of Intra-National related to Fair Labor
- 16 | Standards Act compliance.
- 17 | O.Did you give Mr. Subedi any advice about how to
- 18 | bring those or how to have those practices comply with
- 19 | the FLSA.
- 20 A.I can't --- that's a difficult thing to answer
- 21 | because there was a great deal of difficulty in
- 22 | getting information from his facility. And it was
- 23 | very difficult to get definitive answers without lack
- 24 | --- with the lack of information. And so more
- 25 definitive is important. I have asked for information



1 about certain practices and I --- to this day, I 2 haven't received the support for the practices. So 3 you don't give me an opinion as to whether or not 4 those practices were correct unless you saw --- unless 5 I saw it. I don't take opinions out of thin air. 6 Q.What practices are you talking about? 7 A. This whole program is unlike anything I've ever 8 seen with a Fair Labor Standards Act issue. It's a 9 dedicated waiver program. I was familiar with it 10 before Obermayer. It is established by the US 11 Department of Health and Human Services. All the 12 rules and regulations of its implementation and 13 continuation were established by that agency. 14 delegated the authority and responsibility to create a 15 program and govern it to the State of Pennsylvania and 16 the other states by the way. Every state has that. 17 So all the responsibilities were laid down by the 18 Department of Human Services and all the authority was 19 laid down under Department of Human Services and said 20 to Pennsylvania, okay, do it. Pennsylvania, their 21 responsibility was to determine the eliquibility of an applicant for the waiver, which is based on income. 22 23 They had to be Medicaid recipients first, and that was 24 established. An individual called a service coordinator who 25



would determine the services that needed to be paid, 1 2 to be given to that individual. And that would 3 involve an extensive inquiry. Doctors, all kinds of 4 people who served that individual. They would prepare 5 what was called an individual service plan. 6 defined the duties of any individual who was going to 7 provide the services. 8 They would then decide what hours they were going 9 to decide to provision of each duty. I inquired of 10 the service coordinator one time how that was done, 11 because here we were talking about the hours worked 12 relative to Fair Labor Standards Act claim. I was 13 told it was done by algorithm. So they would 14 establish that. 15 And then the recipient of the services, 98 16 percent of the time was selected to provision the 17 provider of the services called the direct care 18 That person was the nominal provider of worker. 19 services and had to be vetted, according to --- US 20 Department of Health and Human Services had very specific requirements, as you think of that, and that 21 22 would have to be done. 23 Once that was done, that individual, every two 24 weeks, according to the state rule, would submit a

record of hours worked. That record of hours worked



25

is almost identical to the approved hours determined 1 2 by the service coordinator. 3 I had serious questions one time because if they 4 said it's going to take an hour to prove --- to 5 provide breakfast to Grandpa, and Grandpa didn't eat 6 breakfast, under the Fair Labor Standards Act, it's a 7 problem, a question. And I'm looking at this from the Fair Labor Standards Act. If I'm ever going to deal 8 9 with it, I'm looking at it from that standard. I 10 highly questioned whether or not those hours are 11 always worked precisely. So that, to me, presented a 12 problem that had to be --- should have been addressed. 13 It had to be addressed in any Fair Labor Standards Act 14 action. 15 They later got to the point --- oh, at that time 16 that I looked at it, they were using county employees 17 as service coordinators. They got around to stopping 18 that sometime, I can't tell you when, a higher order 19 called managed care organizations. Managed care 20 organization assumed all the responsibilities and 21 authorities that I have described, requirements of the 22 state, including hiring service coordinators. 23 the first --- the managed care organizations decide 24 whether the time is correct for all this, all that 25 sort of thing. And the Fair Labor Standards Act issue



1 considering it was my opinion that somewhere, it was 2 either the state or the managed care organizations who 3 were the employers for the issue under the Fair Labor 4 Standards Act case. 5 Now, one time they were still using county 6 They hired separate entities. I'm thinking people. 7 of a situation where I saw associations for retarded 8 children. The associations for retarded children 9 interviewed perspective direct care workers according 10 to the rules of the federal agency. You had a direct 11 care worker if you met the rules. Now, they weren't 12 based on their own rules. They had to use those. 13 The hours worked would be submitted to them and 14 then submitted to the county for approval. After they 15 were approved for funding, but everybody would ??? 16 (42:38) us on sample records. What's happening? Was 17 the approved hours approved for the hours. 18 approved by the service coordinator were the hours 19 that were being reported as worked. And for a wage 20 and hour --- old wage and hour hand, ask a question. 21 Right? And that discretely whatever had to deal with 22 yourself. I have to wonder if it was hours 23 inspection. 24 O.Is that something you talked about with Intra-25 National's managers?



- 1 | A.No.
- 2 Q.No?
- 3 A.No.
- 4 Q.Did you talk with Mr. Adhikari or Mr. Subedi
- 5 about whether the managed care organizations were the
- 6 employee --- sorry, the employers of the direct care
- 7 | workers?
- 8 | A.No, no.
- 9 Q.No. When you spoke with Mr. Subedi, did he
- 10 express concern about the cost of overtime premium
- 11 | pay?
- 12 A.Not that I recall.
- 13 | 0.Did he discuss the difficulties of paying
- 14 overtime premium pay, given the flat rate
- 15 | reimbursement that Intra-National received?
- 16 A.I don't recall that I ever had that discussion
- 17 with Mr. Subedi. I don't remember ever having that
- 18 discussion with Mr. Subedi. I was told one time, I
- 19 | don't remember where I heard it, that Intra-National
- 20 asked about the culture of overtime, that it was
- 21 denied. The state had increased the rates. But
- 22 | that's just something that's in my mind that I can't
- 23 | tell you anything with detail about that, that kind of
- 24 thing.
- 25 | Q.Maybe you can clear something up for me. At the



- 1 deposition that you attended, Mr. Adhikari testified
- 2 | that Intra-National found you on Google and reached
- 3 out to you.
- 4 Do you agree with that?
- 5 A.No, I don't know if I heard it. If I heard it, I
- 6 | would disagree, because that was not the way I was
- 7 retained. I was retained by Obermayer. It's pretty
- 8 | hard to find me on Google.
- 9 Q.I don't think it's too hard to find you on
- 10 Google. Let's see. Do you have an estimate of how
- 11 | many hours you've billed Obermayer for your work with
- 12 Intra-National?
- 13 A.No.
- 14 | O.Be it more than 100?
- 15 | A.I can't speculate about that.
- 16 | Q.There's been some testimony in this case that
- 17 Intra-National managers have met with you hundreds of
- 18 times.
- 19 Do you agree with that?
- 20 A. That's not true. That's not true.
- 21 Q.In your consulting work, is it your regular
- 22 | practice to keep written records of your conversations
- 23 | with employers?
- 24 A.Conversations with employers? I don't to be
- 25 | honest.



- 1 | O.What was your most recent in-person meeting with
- 2 | a manager of Intra-National?
- 3 | A.I don't remember.
- 4 Q.Was it this year?
- 5 | A.Describe meeting because I attended --- I
- 6 attended some depositions, but I didn't have one that
- 7 | I would call a meeting. I was in their presence. I
- 8 | don't recall having any 2023 ---
- 9 Q.Did you have any meetings with any Intra-National
- 10 | managers in 2022?
- 11 | A.Well, we left 2023. Well, yeah. We did speak to
- 12 | some.
- 13 Q.What did you talk about during that meeting?
- 14 A.Potential depositions.
- 15 | Q.In this case?
- 16 A.Yeah.
- 17 | Q.Was anything else discussed?
- 18 A.Oh, I can't remember the details on discussions,
- 19 | informal discussions with people.
- 20 Q.And in 2022, did you review any of Intra-
- 21 | National's payroll records?
- 22 | A.I don't think so. I don't --- I should say I
- 23 | don't recall. I'm sorry.
- 24 | O.After your initial review of their records when
- 25 they switched from paying straight time to overtime



- 1 until, as you said, they started paying overtime, did
- 2 | you review your records at any --- their records at
- 3 | any point after that?
- 4 A.Not that I recall. No, I just put that ???
- 5 (49:20). And then those records were ??? as far as I
- 6 understand.
- 7 Q.Okay.
- 8 | A.They provided to me ???.
- 9 Q.So that was during Wage and Hours' investigation?
- 10 A.Well, that's when the investigation started, when
- 11 | I looked at one payroll record that he investigated.
- 12 | And the frequent was to, like, deal out with those
- 13 records because hopefully, COVID, they weren't going
- 14 | into the establishments, as far as I know. And so
- 15 | those records were handed over to the Department of
- 16 | Labor.
- 17 | O.And were you involved in giving those records to
- 18 | the Department of Labor?
- 19 A.Not involved. I arranged ??? (50:17) They're
- 20 | entitled to the refugee ??? because of the
- 21 | circumstances. That's kind of an unusual thing. The
- 22 circumstances were unusual. I don't think that Wage
- 23 and Hour was allowing their investigators to go into
- 24 | establishments at the time.
- 25 Q.Because of COVID?



- 1 A.Yeah.
- 2 | Q.Was there a discussion with Wage and Hour at any
- 3 | point about Intra-National transcribing the records?
- 4 A.Yes. Intra-National was to --- requested to
- 5 transcribe ??? (51:04) Yeah.
- 6 Q.And what does transcribe mean, just for people
- 7 | who might be less familiar with the Wage and Hour
- 8 | network terminology?
- 9 A.Copy, copy.
- 10 Q.Copy?
- 11 A.Yeah.
- 12 | Q.Like with a photograph here.
- 13 A.Yes. But if you're doing transcriptions, you're
- 14 doing calculations. You have to do the one something.
- 15 You can't photocopy something that doesn't exist.
- 16 Q.So what actually ---? Tell me this, what does a
- 17 | transcription look like?
- 18 A.Oh, I can't describe a transcription.
- 19 Q.Is it an Excel file?
- 20 A.I don't know what an Excel file is. I am
- 21 technologically ---.
- 22 | Q.Does a transcription involve pulling data from a
- 23 payroll record, putting it into a different format?
- 24 A.I don't know. It could be lots of different
- 25 things. It could be --- I think the best people to



- 1 | ask about that is where ??? (52:09) if they did it.
- 2 | Not me because I've ---. they get into reference.
- 3 Q.Did you speak with Mr. Subedi about how to do the
- 4 transcription?
- 5 A.No.
- 6 Q.Did you speak with Mr. Subedi about doing a back
- 7 wage computation?
- 8 A.Not that I remember.
- 9 | Q.Did you speak with anyone at Intra-National about
- 10 | that?
- 11 A.Not that I remember. Turn the records over for
- 12 DOL to do what they were going to do it. Otherwise,
- 13 | the DOL, as a routine, would come into the employer's
- 14 establishment, get the records, copy in effect. In
- 15 | fact, I think in the first instance, the investigator
- 16 | made some copies, transcriptions, wage hour languages,
- 17 | transcriptions. That quy, that quy made some
- 18 | transcriptions of the records. How much he did, I
- 19 | don't know.
- 20 The idea was --- my understanding was the Wage
- 21 | Hour was not permitting the employees to go into
- 22 | establishments. That would have been the normal
- 23 | course of business. So they're entitled to the
- 24 records. The easy thing is to give them to them and
- 25 | let them do whatever they're going to do. And that



- 1 | way you don't have a problem.
- 2 | Q.Did you have any discussions with Intra-National
- 3 or its managers about a state audit in 2018?
- 4 A.I don't recall having any direct contact with
- 5 | anybody from Intra-National. I spoke to a state
- 6 investigator about their prospect of an investigation.
- 7 | Q.Tell me about that discussion.
- 8 A.In summary, they're already under investigation
- 9 by the US Department of Labor, and it's only a ???
- 10 (54:21) new deal ??? Please.
- 11 | Q.Did the same agency agree?
- 12 A.Yes.
- 13 Q.The first time that you spoke with anyone at
- 14 | Intra-National, were they paying straight time for
- 15 | overtime on the records?
- 16 A.I can't answer that question because the record I
- 17 saw had some of that that they had done that --- they
- 18 | had done it in the past. The first record I saw were
- 19 paid overtime at time and a half.
- 20 Q.Okay.
- 21 Did you tell anyone at Intra-National that the
- 22 | FLSA required them to pay overtime at time and half?
- 23 A.No, because they ---.
- 24 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 25 Object to the form.



March 22, 2023

1 You can answer.

- 2 | THE WITNESS:
- $3 \mid --- ??? (55:24)$ They were doing it.
- 4 There's no need for me to tell them.
- 5 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 6 Q.Okay.
- 7 | Have you ever told anyone at Intra-National that
- 8 | the FLSA requires them to keep track of weekly hours
- 9 | worked as opposed to biweekly hours worked?
- 10 A.No, I did not.
- 11 Q.Okay.
- 12 When you reviewed their records, did you notice
- 13 | that they only recorded biweekly hours worked?
- 14 | A.My review of the records was limited to what I
- 15 | just described. And I knew for in the program, the
- 16 | state program, that records of hours worked was
- 17 | submitted for payment biweekly. You could tell ---.
- 18 | O.Sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 A.You could tell, I mean, they're paying overtime
- 20 | after 80 hours. My concern as a Wage Hour
- 21 | investigator would be over 80 hours, meaning always
- 22 | over 40. That would be the concern. There's no
- 23 | question for me very little of the records that I saw,
- 24 and they were limited, but that was compliance. If
- 25 | they paid over 80, that was one circumstance, was



- 1 | compliant.
- 2 | Q.If the employee worked 20 hours in the first week
- 3 and 60 hours in the second week of the biweekly pay
- 4 | period, they required maybe a total 20 hours of
- 5 | overtime, but it's only 80 hours? Where ---?
- 6 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 7 Objection to form.
- 8 | THE WITNESS:
- 9 | Well, that issue came up with me in my
- 10 | mind later, because the --- what didn't happen in this
- 11 | case was the Wage and Hour Division never verified the
- 12 | accuracy of records, as far as I know. And I thought
- 13 | that was a major failure because of what you just
- 14 | mentioned.
- 15 | I also think there was a major failure
- 16 | because there were multiple members of the family. So
- 17 | what you just described, if A was being paid for ---
- 18 to pay working 35 hours a week, but half of that was
- 19 B, then the overtime liability was reduced, and I
- 20 | think that should be --- should have been addressed.
- 21 | See, I'm an old-fashioned Wage Hour guy. One of the
- 22 | things always we've done was to verify the accuracy of
- 23 | the records. Making assumptions, you brought up a
- 24 good reason for those assumptions, for not making
- 25 | assumptions. You see 80 hours. That's quite ???



- 1 (58:34) to answer the question.
- 2 But I don't see the Wage Hour in this
- 3 | case, from what I have seen in their calculations,
- 4 | ever made any determination of the accuracy of the
- 5 | hours worked.
- 6 Q.But Intra-National didn't have records of weekly
- 7 hours worked.
- 8 Right?
- 9 A. You could look at the ISP and find it out. I'm
- 10 | sorry. The individual service plan can tell you how
- 11 | many hours were to be worked. In my opinion, the
- 12 | proper investigative process would seek out the
- 13 | individual service plans and determine what the hours
- 14 | we worked. I saw records 15 hours a day, 7 days a
- 15 | week. No human being is going to work 15 hours a day,
- 16 7 days a week. That was quite well explained. That's
- 17 | what the Wage and Hour Division calculated.
- 18 | And it's just not possible, in my opinion. It's
- 19 been 60 years involved with this. And somebody says
- 20 | they work 105 hours a week, they could only sleep for
- 21 | 8 or 9 hours a day for someone.
- 22 | Q.But you didn't discuss that with anyone at Intra-
- 23 | National.
- 24 | Correct?
- 25 | A.No, I did not because I think it should have been



1 discussed with the Wage and Hour Division. We never 2 had that opportunity to discuss it with the Wage and 3 Hour Division because of other problems, things ??? 4 They never held the final conference 5 telling us ---. 6 Q.We can talk about that later, but let me ask you 7 another question. 8 ATTORNEY FOX: 9 Well, let the witness finish his --- the 10 witness was answering. 11 ATTORNEY LUBY: 12 He's not being responsive. 13 ATTORNEY FOX: 14 Please don't interrupt his answer. 15 ATTORNEY LUBY: 16 Can I have the court reporter read the 17 question back, please? 18 THE WITNESS: 19 Do you want me to finish the answer? 20 ATTORNEY LUBY: 21 Let the court reporter read the question 22 back please. 23 24 (WHEREUPON, THE PREVIOUS QUESTION WAS READ BACK.) 25



- 1 | THE WITNESS:
- 2 It was called the service plan. And
- 3 | they were stereotyped. The records are definitely ---
- 4 once they were established, the only --- I think they
- 5 | were the only records anybody had to deal with.
- 6 What was reported to Intra-National or
- 7 | anybody in Intra-National's position, by the way, I
- 8 | don't want to say specifically Intra-National.
- 9 Anybody in Intra-National's position were biweekly
- 10 records.
- 11 | This had to be the State of
- 12 Pennsylvania. I don't know that for sure. Biweekly
- 13 records signed by the recipient of services and the
- 14 direct care worker. And those that I saw, not related
- 15 | to Inter-National, that's what they were. So
- 16 | everybody's working seven days a week. So you can see
- 17 | 2 weeks, 14 days, and it split it because the ISP says
- 18 | those are the hours you can work, and that's what
- 19 you're reporting. We do have the record on a weekly
- 20 basis because all you have to do is center it.
- 21 But the problem is, from my standpoint,
- 22 | when I saw those not here, I saw something like that
- 23 | for the first time under this program. First thing
- 24 | that came to mind, if there's a Wage Hour
- 25 | investigation, this should be questioned.



March 22, 2023

1 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 2 Q.And is that the end of the response? 3 A.Yes. 4 ATTORNEY LUBY: 5 Okay. 6 Let's go ahead and take a ten-minute 7 break. 8 ATTORNEY FOX: 9 Sounds good. 10 11 (WHEREUPON, A SHORT BREAK WAS TAKEN.) 12 13 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 14 O.I'm going to talk with you about Wage and Hours 15 investigation. Did you attend the opening conference? 16 A. There was no opening conference. What happened 17 was the investigator showed up to look at the records. 18 And I did meet with that investigator, which I've 19 described already. But there was no typical Wage Hour 20 opening conference. The only thing that I've seen 21 telling Intra-National what would go on is the typical 22 deployment letter --- appointment letter saying the 23 quy's going to show up a certain time. And that was 24 delayed for months, three months, because I think it 25 was January 2020. So that was the investigator.



- 1 | O.And as far as you know, that was the
- 2 | investigator's first visit to Intra-National's office?
- 3 A.As far as I know, yeah.
- 4 Q.At that time, were you representing Intra-
- 5 | National as their attorney?
- 6 A. That was the time --- not as their attorney. I
- 7 | was consulting with Obermayer. I never regarded
- 8 | Intra-National as my client, by the way. But that was
- 9 the first act that I took on behalf of Intra-National,
- 10 | as far as I recall.
- 11 | Q.How did --- how did you know there was going to
- 12 be an opening conference that day?
- 13 A.Well, this whole investigation didn't go
- 14 | typically, and I think it's because of COVID. There
- 15 | was no sit-down opening conference, well, we're going
- 16 to do this, we're going to do that and this is going
- 17 | to --- what questions do you have. That didn't
- 18 | happen. And, of course, neither was there a final
- 19 | conference.
- 20 Q.Okay.
- 21 | But I need you to answer my question, and my
- 22 | question is, how did you know Wage and Hour was going
- 23 | to go to Intra-National's work site that day?
- 24 A. There was a letter. They sent a letter saying
- 25 those arrangements were made.



- 1 | Q.How did you get a copy of the letter?
- 2 A.I don't recall that. I don't recall that.
- 3 Q.Was your first --- I'm just trying to understand
- 4 how you got involved in this case?
- 5 A.Because Bruce Fox asked me to get involved.
- 6 Q.Okay.
- 7 And that was before January 2020?
- 8 A.Sometime, but I don't remember when. I
- 9 originally got involved because of the state case.
- 10 Q.Okay.
- 11 A.And I was involved in other cases. It wasn't
- 12 only this one. There were others.
- 13 Q.Okay.
- 14 | Sorry. Go ahead. The state case was in 2019?
- 15 A.Yeah.
- 16 | O.I'm sorry. Is that yes?
- 17 | A.Yes, I'm sorry.
- 18 | Q.That's all right.
- 19 At any point during Wage and Hours investigation,
- 20 | did you tell any of Intra-National's managers that the
- 21 | company was not an employer of the home care workers?
- 22 A.No.
- 23 | Q.And you had --- well, first of all, who's Brian
- 24 | Heeter?
- 25 | A.Well, Mr. Heeter is an Assistant District



- 1 Director of the Pittsburgh office. He's brilliant in
- 2 | that position. He's very, very good.
- 3 | Q.I agree.
- 4 Did you have a call with ---.
- 5 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 6 Note for the record, Mr. Heeter's
- 7 | sitting here.
- 8 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 9 O.Did you have a call with Mr. Heeter after the
- 10 | investigator's initial visit to discuss the
- 11 | investigation?
- 12 | A.That's too broad a question. I had some --- I
- 13 | had some discussions with Mr. Heeter.
- 14 Q.Do you have the exhibits --- oh, go ahead.
- 15 | A.Can I finish?
- 16 | O.Sure.
- 17 A.I had some discussions with Mr. Heeter on
- 18 | specific things, and one of them was the failure to
- 19 | hold the final conference. That was the most serious
- 20 one as far as I was concerned.
- 21 | Q.I'm sorry. I didn't catch that. The most
- 22 | serious one was what?
- 23 A. Failure to hold a final conference. What
- 24 | happened here is the DOL's position when there's an
- 25 out-of-state employer, Intra-National in Ohio, so this



- 1 office in Pittsburgh had to send it to Ohio as far as
- 2 | I recall, to hold the final conference. I asked
- 3 | Brian, why wasn't it held? That's what he told me,
- 4 but it was never held. That's a very disturbing point
- 5 | in this whole thing.
- 6 | Q.Well, I want to start a little bit earlier than
- 7 | that. Do you have the exhibit book? I believe Mr.
- 8 | Heeter's got it.
- 9 | A.That's the efficiency of Mr. Heeter I was talking
- 10 | about. I have Exhibits 23, 22, 21.
- 11 | Q.So let's take a look at the exhibit behind tab
- 12 | 29. It says Exhibit 29.
- 13 | A. Yeah.
- 14 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 15 | I'll mark that for the record as Exhibit
- 16 | 29.
- 17
- 18 (Wherefore, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 19 | 29, E-mail, dated 2/3/20, was marked for
- 20 identification.)
- 21 ---
- 22 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 23 | Q.And feel free to take a minute to look through
- 24 that.
- 25 A. Yeah, that's right. Okay.



- 1 | Q.Just look up at me when you're done reading it.
- 2 A.Okay.
- 3 | I didn't read the whole thing. What parts of it
- 4 | are you interested in?
- 5 | Q.Sure. I'll direct your attention. So this is an
- 6 | email from Brian Heeter.
- 7 | Correct?
- 8 A.Yeah, yes.
- 9 Q.And it's dated February 3rd, 2020?
- 10 A.Yes.
- 11 | Q.And sent it to you.
- 12 | Correct?
- 13 A.Yes.
- 14 | Q.Okay.
- 15 | And Subject here is Intra-National plan of action
- 16 | for records and 541 exemptions.
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A.Yeah. Okay.
- 19 Q.And so Mr. Heeter is sending this email as
- 20 | follow-up to a call about Intra-National on Friday.
- 21 Do you remember that call?
- 22 | A.No.
- 23 | 0.0kay.
- 24 Do you remember a plan of action being developed
- 25 | with Wage and Hour to complete this investigation or



March 22, 2023 52

- 1 | for any investigation to complete the back wage
- 2 | computations?
- 3 A.I don't see a direct reference to back wage
- 4 | calculations. If you'll give me some guidance as to
- 5 | what you're talking about maybe I can ---.
- 6 Q.Sure. If you look under the paragraph that has a
- 7 heading January 2017 payroll and August 2018, it says
- 8 | --- it appears that your clients paid these workers ST
- 9 | for OT on the records in January 2017 and August 2018.
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 | A.No.
- 12 Q.And then it says you proposed that Intra-National
- 13 transcribe these violations for all affected employees
- 14 and submit the results to WHD for verification. What
- 15 do you remember about that agreement for Intra-
- 16 | National to transcribe the violations?
- 17 A.I don't remember anything about it as a matter of
- 18 | fact. I think what's here is what happened. My
- 19 | recollection is that records were sent to the
- 20 Department of Labor. They did the transcriptions. In
- 21 | fact, I think there were more than one person, which
- 22 | is understandable, doing the transcriptions, that is
- 23 | copying the records so they could do calculations.
- 24 | I have seen copies of the calculations and
- 25 | they're stereotyped. You know, it just shows each pay



- 1 period. Well, it considers that a salary was paid,
- 2 | converted to show that the result of dividing the
- 3 standard hours, fixed hours, into the gross pay came
- 4 to within a few cents of \$13.00, \$13,04 or \$13.06.
- 5 And that they continued as a result. That's what I've
- 6 seen. That was what --- the intent was to meet the
- 7 requirements, providing them the information they need
- 8 | to do the investigation. There's no sense in
- 9 resisting that.
- 10 They had COVID problems. They couldn't send
- 11 | people around. So the idea was do everything to
- 12 | convenience the conduct of the investigation to get it
- over with. I mean, there's no sense in resisting Wage
- 14 | Hour's right to see records. That's nonsense.
- 15 | Q.Sure. So just following up on something you said
- 16 | there, you said you reviewed Wage Hours' computations.
- 17 What do you mean by that?
- 18 A.Oh, months later when you submitted them. They
- 19 | were submitted as part of discovery.
- 20 | O.In this lawsuit?
- 21 A.Don't use the term reviewed in the context of I
- 22 | looked at every one. I looked at samples. I mean,
- 23 | when there's 2,000 people involved, I wasn't going to
- 24 | sit and charge Obermayer \$450 an hour for looking at
- 25 | the same thing over and over and over.



- 1 | Q.Fair enough. I want to look where it says
- 2 | manipulation of rates.
- 3 A.Okay.
- 4 | Q.Brian's writing to you that your records show
- 5 | that certain employees' rates were changed depending
- 6 on the number of hours they worked.
- 7 Did you look into that after you received this
- 8 | email?
- 9 A.Oh, I saw it the first time I looked at the
- 10 record. The investigator pointed it out to me. I
- 11 didn't look to see how often it happened until I saw
- 12 | the calculations. And I looked to see if it was
- 13 | sporadic, if there was a pattern to it. There wasn't.
- 14 There were people who that never happened to and it
- 15 | happened a few times to some people. I don't think it
- 16 | happened --- my personal opinion was I didn't think it
- 17 | happened as much as frequently to show some kind of
- 18 plot to violate the law. I think that it was done
- 19 where it occurred, just the instances where the fixed
- 20 hours were exceeded.
- 21 And, you know, running through my mind at that
- 22 | time was, what are the managed care organizations
- 23 | doing, because what they were doing is forwarding that
- 24 | information to ---. DCW, Direct Care Worker, and the
- 25 | recipient submitted that to the managed care



- 1 organization. They got the records first. Managed
- 2 | care organization made the decisions and what hours
- 3 | would be paid.
- 4 They sent it on to Intra-National, in effect
- 5 saying, pay these hours. So that's the sequence of
- 6 it. Intra-National didn't make any decision about
- 7 paying hours. That was made by BMC only. And, of
- 8 | course, I saw that example.
- 9 I looked to some degree because please
- 10 understand, the mass of these records was that I was
- 11 | not going to sit there and go through page after page
- 12 | for no good reason. I looked for incidents, and these
- 13 things happened. They happened just occasionally.
- 14 And frankly, I didn't think it was a serious enough
- 15 | violation to determine --- if I determined it was a
- 16 violation, it was a serious enough violation to charge
- 17 | \$15 million. I thought that was preposterous.
- 18 Q.I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 A.I said I thought that was preposterous.
- 20 Q.Did you speak with anyone at Intra-National about
- 21 | that?
- 22 A.No, I didn't speak to Intra-National. That
- 23 | wasn't going to happen. There's nothing that Intra-
- 24 National could do about. It already happened.
- 25 Q.Did you speak with Intra-National about whether



- 1 their pay practices were compliant with the Fair Labor
- 2 | Standards Act ---
- 3 | A.That's a general ---
- $4 \mid 0.---$ at this time?
- 5 A.--- that's a general question. Did I sit down
- 6 | with Intra-National and say your general pay practices
- 7 | is wrong? Of course not, because the Department of
- 8 | Labor was going to tell us that the general pay
- 9 | practice was wrong and why, which never happened.
- 10 The only thing I told Intra-National was the
- 11 | investigator pointed out when I said, they're saying
- 12 | you're in violation and they're okay, we'll give the
- 13 records that are going to summarize what it is.
- 14 That's what it amounted to. And you did. You added
- 15 | something to it. The Department of Labor added
- 16 | something to it.
- 17 | O.So did you work with Intra-National to gather
- 18 | table records for Wage and Hour?
- 19 A.I did not gather anything. That's a waste of
- 20 | time. I told Intra-National what we agreed to and
- 21 | they were to carry it out.
- 22 | Q.At any point did you speak with Mr. Heeter about
- 23 | Intra-National computing the overtime back wages that
- 24 | were owed?
- 25 A.I don't recall discussing that with Mr. Heeter.



1 I assumed they were going to do that because that was 2 the idea of presenting the records. They had said 3 there's a violation. They were going to apply their opinion to those records and let us know. I mean, 4 5 that happens in Wage --- well, it happened when I was 6 That happened in Wage Hour cases a lot. You around. 7 know, you just didn't want to waste time sitting down. 8 First, I didn't give the investigator help. 9 they sat down, went through all those records and did 10 it that way, it was a waste of time. You're 11 interested in the result. You're not interested in 12 how you get there. Just do it most efficiently. 13 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit 14 15 30, E-mail, dated 3/3/20, was marked for 16 identification.) 17 18 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 19 Q.Let me direct your attention to the next exhibit 20 in the binder, Exhibit 30. 21 A.Okay. All right. 22 Q.On the handwriting, have you seen this document 23 before? 24 A.I don't remember. I don't remember the specific 25 document, seeing it before. I obviously wrote it, so



- 1 | I must have seen it at one time.
- 2 Q.Okay.
- 3 And as of March 3rd, 2020, you gave Mr. Heeter an
- 4 update that the records were almost finished.
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A.Yeah.
- 7 | Q.What do you mean by almost finished?
- 8 A. Whatever we were supposed to do was almost done.
- 9 Q.Do you recall what you were supposed to do?
- 10 A.No. You can tell I'm a little bit confused by it
- 11 | because I thought what we were doing, what we were
- 12 doing, was submitting records to Wage Hour for their
- 13 | calculations. And this would --- that must be what
- 14 | this refers to.
- 15
- 16 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 17 | 31, E-mail, dated 5/15/20, was marked
- 18 | for identification.)
- 19
- 20 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 21 | Q.Okay.
- 22 | Now let's take a look at Exhibit 31. And for
- 23 | this one, I'm going to start on the last page, but go
- 24 | ahead and sort of page through it before I ask you
- 25 | questions about it.



- 1 A.Okay.
- 2 Q.This is an email for Brian Heeter.
- 3 | Correct?
- 4 A.Yeah, yes.
- 5 Q.Dated May 15th, 2020?
- 6 A.Yes.
- 7 Q.This email is asking for transcriptions, but also
- 8 | back wage computations.
- 9 Do you agree with that?
- 10 A.No.
- 11 | Q.So why don't you agree with that?
- 12 A.Because it doesn't mention transcriptions or ---
- 13 | it doesn't mention calculations. Unless you --- the
- 14 | first paragraph from the bottom of 31, top of the
- 15 | second page, I don't see any mention whatsoever. What
- 16 | that refers to is questioning their right to ask for
- 17 | those records. There's nothing about calculations.
- 18 Q.Well, I want to direct your attention to the
- 19 | second sentence of the first paragraph. The last time
- 20 | we spoke in March, you were going to obtain samples of
- 21 | the work being completed and forward them to me so we
- 22 | could verify that the calculations were being done
- 23 | correctly and that adequate progress was being made.
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A.No.



- 1 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 2 | I'm sorry. Where are you?
- 3 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 4 Are we on the wrong page? I'm on the
- 5 | last page of Exhibit 31.
- 6 | THE WITNESS:
- 7 | The last page.
- 8 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 9 Okay. Yeah, I think we're on the wrong
- 10 page.
- 11 | THE WITNESS:
- 12 We're on the first page.
- 13 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 14 Q.Oh, go to the last page of Exhibit 31.
- 15 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 16 | There's a highlighted version. I think
- 17 | I'm going to swap that out. Maybe she didn't want to
- 18 | highlighted this for you. She highlighted this. I
- 19 | didn't. I'll switch it.
- 20 I gave him the highlighted version,
- 21 | Andrea. There was only one of them that had
- 22 | highlights, so I'll just let him have that copy.
- 23 ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 24 | Thank you. I'll represent to you that
- 25 | this highlight is mine and it wouldn't have been on



- 1 | the initial email.
- 2 | THE WITNESS:
- 3 | I see it.
- 4 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 5 | Q.And so I want to direct your attention correctly
- 6 | this time to the second sentence of the first
- 7 paragraph.
- 8 A. The last time we spoke in March, we're going to
- 9 take samples, samples of work being completed and
- 10 | forwarded to me so we could verify the calculations.
- 11 | Yeah, I see it.
- 12 | O.So Intra-National was making those calculations?
- 13 A.That's what it seems like. But it was --- some
- 14 of the stuff I saw later, that was done by Wage Hour.
- 15 | I don't think that was done by Intra-National.
- 16 | 0.0kay. Got it.
- 17 And Mr. Heeter was --- there's a numbered list
- 18 here of what he was asking for? Several examples of
- 19 the transcriptions and computations for at least ten
- 20 employees.
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A.Yeah. Uh-huh (yes.)
- 23 | Q.And he's asking for a progress report and
- 24 | projected completion date.
- 25 Do you see that?



- 1 A.Yes.
- 2 | Q.So my question here goes to liability. At the
- 3 | time that Intra-National was doing these computations,
- 4 had you advised them of any defenses they might have
- 5 | to liability under the FLSA?
- 6 A.I don't remember that. I'm certain --- I'm
- 7 | certain that in my mind, knowing what the prospect was
- 8 | that I was looking for that, but I don't remember
- 9 talking to Intra-National. That wouldn't have done
- 10 any good. You know, no sense of scaring the hell out
- 11 of them.
- 12 | Q.Did you tell them that they were not employers?
- 13 A.No, no.
- 14 | Q.Did you tell them that the direct care workers
- 15 | were exempt?
- 16 A.Oh, there is a possibility. I don't remember
- 17 | telling Intra-National specifically this kind of
- 18 | stuff. I talked it over with Bruce, things going
- 19 | through my mind because I was looking at the way out.
- 20 Obviously, that was my job. We could talk about
- 21 | exempt. I don't even --- I couldn't even tell you how
- 22 | many exemptions there are in the Fair Labor Standards
- 23 | Act. You could tell me what you think I was talking
- 24 about. I could answer that question. There were some
- 25 possibilities.



- 1 | Q.So I want to focus on what you told Intra-
- 2 National. Did you tell them that the live-in
- 3 | exemption applied to any of their direct care workers?
- 4 | A.I would never have discussed that directly with
- 5 | Intra-National.
- 6 Q.Did you tell them that the companionship
- 7 | exemption applied to any of their direct care workers?
- 8 A.No, I did not. I know about considering that.
- 9 You see, the process is to find the way out obviously.
- 10 | Companionship exemption could have applied. It's 15
- 11 | 813. But I didn't just start holding that stuff out
- 12 to an employer, you know. If that doesn't work,
- 13 | you're going to look like you don't know what the heck
- 14 | you're doing. So you don't raise hopes until you're
- 15 | certain.
- 16 | Q.Did you tell anyone at Intra-National that Intra-
- 17 | National was a payroll company rather than an
- 18 | employer?
- 19 A.I don't remember telling them that. I don't
- 20 remember considering that issue, and I'll explain why.
- 21 | Because when I was with the Wage and Hour Division,
- 22 | Paychecks came on the scene. Paychecks does payroll.
- 23 and it was seriously considered within the Wage and
- 24 | Hour Division that they were being --- they were an
- 25 | employer because of that, and it was dismissed. We



1 did not take action against Paychecks like we're doing 2 Interestingly now, we're doing HR, human 3 relations, work. 4 I'm involved in a case with one of those Paycheck 5 Not Paychecks itself, but one of those 6 payroll people are now doing extensive human relations 7 work, and they're going to be an employer, I would 8 think, or possible. 9 I never discussed this with Intra-National for 10 the same reasons I just gave. You can't raise hopes. 11 Q.Did you advise Intra-National that they did owe 12 back wages on those times when they adjusted an hourly 13 rate based on the hours worked in a particular pay 14 period? 15 A.I don't recall telling Intra-National. But I 16 consulted with a member of your office, Mr. Krier, who 17 agreed with us. Those were the violation weeks. 18 had the opportunity to settle the case on that basis. 19 And --- but you wanted an injunction and a three-year statute of limitations, which was admitting to willful 20 21 violations of the law. You could accept. 22 23 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit 24 32, E-mail, dated 6/3/20, was marked for

identification.)

1 2 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 3 O.I'm going to direct your attention to Exhibit 32. Is this something you reviewed during Wage Hour's 4 5 investigation? 6 A.I'm sorry? Can you repeat the question? 7 Q.Oh, sure. Did you review Exhibit 32 during Wage 8 and Hour's investigation? 9 A.I don't recall. I don't recall looking at it. I've seen these things before, but I don't --- I don't 10 11 know whether I saw this or not. 12 13 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit 34, E-mail, dated 6/24/20, was marked 14 15 for identification.) 16 17 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 18 O.I want to direct your attention to Exhibit 34. 19 And let's start on the last page. 20 A.Okay. I'm on the last page. 21 O.Okay. Great. 22 So looking at the email from you to Mr. Heeter 23 dated June 24th, does this describe the records 24 testing that you did during Wage and Hour's 25 investigation?



- 1 A. Yeah, this was my opinion about that.
- 2 | Q.And so it was your opinion that those three
- 3 | employee records didn't show up for basic scheme of
- 4 | falsification.
- 5 | Is that right?
- 6 A.That's what I said, yeah.
- 7 | Q.Did you give any advice to Intra-National about
- 8 | whether they engaged in an for basic scheme of
- 9 | falsification?
- 10 | A.No.
- 11 Q.Then let's look at the first page. It looks like
- 12 Mr. Heeter responds to your email the same day saying,
- 13 | I think there are enough examples like those in the
- 14 | spreadsheet I sent you today that show the firm
- 15 changed rates when the hours went up or down.
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 A.No, but I'll find it.
- 18 Q.Take your time. It's near the bottom of the
- 19 page. The email dated June 24th.
- 20 A.Okay.
- 21 | I see it. Uh-huh (yes.)
- 22 Q.So since Mr. Heeter had sent you a spreadsheet
- 23 that day, do you remember reviewing the spreadsheet?
- 24 A.No, I didn't remember. I do notice here that
- 25 Brian, Mr. Heeter, had said that a one-time change



- 1 | would not be an issue. And what I have seen on many,
- 2 | many --- well, I shouldn't say many, many, but several
- 3 cases, that there was a one-time change.
- 4 And I think what we're talking about here is the
- 5 determination of those frequency, that those changes
- 6 | constituted willfulness. I think every
- 7 | employer/employee stands alone, and that the fact that
- 8 | this happened from employee to employee and didn't
- 9 happen to many employees precludes that it is
- 10 pervasive and indicates a willful violation of the
- 11 | law.
- 12 Q.Okay.
- 13 A.So excuse me. Can I tell you one more thing?
- 14 O.Sure. Go ahead.
- 15 A.The situation here where there were these fixed
- 16 hours, and to comply fixed hours, and then you would
- 17 | get from managed care organization that there were
- 18 more hours, and the rate had been changed to commit
- 19 | compliance. I can understand that being a mistake
- 20 | rather than a pervasive example of falsification.
- 21 | And the other thing about falsification is it's
- 22 | right on the face of the record, there's no attempted
- 23 | concealment here. That's falsification. Concealment
- 24 | is falsification. There's no falsification. They did
- 25 | it in the open. Right? So I think that goes to not



- 1 being a pervasive example of willfulness.
- 2 willfully violate the law, my experience is you
- 3 conceal it. They didn't. There's no concealment. Ι
- 4 think that's a major factor.
- 5 O.Did you have any discussions with Intra-National
- about whether their violations were willful? 6
- 7 A.No, absolutely not.
- 8
- 9 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 36, Rate Change Assignment, was marked 10
- 11 for identification.)
- 12
- 13 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 14 O.Let's look at Exhibit 36.
- 15 A.Okay.
- 16 O.It has a heading of Rate Change Assignment.
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A.Yes.
- 19 Q. Have you seen this before?
- 20 A.I haven't seen this one. I have seen one of
- 21 I know I have. I don't know about this one. these.
- 22 Q.When did you see one of them?
- 23 A. Somewhere I've seen another one. I couldn't
- 24 remember the names, but I have seen this document.
- 25 Q.Why did you review it?



- 1 | A.I didn't review it. I read it.
- 2 | Q.Why did you look at it?
- 3 A.To see what the agreement was. To see what ---
- 4 | this apparently is a document that led them into ---
- 5 | what I saw on the record of paying --- changing the
- 6 | rate, paying time and time and a half. And I didn't
- 7 | see anything on this document that indicated a
- 8 | violation of the law.
- 9 Q.And did you advise them to start using this kind
- 10 of document?
- 11 | A.Absolutely not. I never saw this document until
- 12 | it came in discovery, to send to you discovery. I
- 13 | never had anything to do with it.
- 14 | Q.Okay. Sorry. I'm going to go backwards in the
- 15 book.
- 16 A.I want to point something out about this.
- 17 O.Yeah. Go ahead.
- 18 | A.It goes to the final conclusion by the
- 19 Department. This doesn't say one word that I
- 20 guaranteed a salary, nothing. The Department of Labor
- 21 | converted this to a salary --- to a salary basis, the
- 22 | equivalent of a salary basis. There's not a word
- 23 here.
- 24 And by the way, there's not a word in the
- 25 investigation that I was paid a salary or expected



- 1 | salary. There are five interviews in that case.
- 2 | There's no mention from any employee that I expected
- 3 to be paid a salary. I think that's a significant bit
- 4 of information since it's contrary to the Department's
- 5 determination.
- 6 | Q.Did you discuss that with anyone Intra-National?
- 7 A.No, no.
- 8
- 9 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 10 28, Hours Worked Table, was marked for
- 11 | identification.)
- 12
- 13 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 14 Q.Let's look at Exhibit 28.
- 15 | Have you seen this before?
- 16 A.I don't remember. I don't remember.
- 17 | O.When you reviewed the discovery in this case, do
- 18 | you think you saw this document?
- 19 | A.I don't remember seeing it.
- 20 Q.Okay.
- 21 And if you look near the bottom of the document,
- 22 | you see sort of a --- almost a table showing the
- 23 | number of hours worked per day and a corresponding
- 24 | hourly rate.
- 25 | Do you see that?



March 22, 2023

- 1 A.No. No. Bottom of the document?
- 2 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 3 No, I think in the wrong document.
- 4 Exhibit 28.
- 5 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 6 | O.Exhibit 28.
- 7 A.Okay.
- 8 ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 9 | Thanks, Bruce.
- 10 | THE WITNESS:
- 11 I've never seen this before.
- 12 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 13 | O.So the bottom of the document, there's sort of a
- 14 table that correlates number of hours worked per day
- 15 | and hourly rate.
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 | A.Yeah. Yes, I do.
- 18 | O.Did you have any discussions with Intra-National
- 19 | about this system ---
- 20 A.No, I did not.
- 21 | Q.--- in 2018?
- 22 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 23 | Direct discussions?
- 24 | THE WITNESS:
- 25 | I had no discussion with Intra-National



1 about this. I had no idea about this. I'll tell you 2 unequivocally I had no discussions with Intra-National 3 on the development of their plan to pay an hourly rate 4 and overtime. I never discussed that. I saw it for 5 the first time when the Payroll delivered it to the 6 investigator. That's it. 7 8 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit 9 45, E-mail, dated 6/22/20, was marked 10 for identification.) 11 12 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 13 O.Okay. Thank you. 14 Sorry about jumping around in this notebook, but 15 let's look at Exhibit 45, right behind tab 45. So on 16 top of Tab 46. And it has Mr. McKeegan at the top. 17 Do you see that? 18 ATTORNEY FOX: 19 No, not yet. 20 THE WITNESS: 21 Not yet. 22 ATTORNEY LUBY: 23 Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you said okay. 24 Take your time.



ATTORNEY FOX:

- 1 45.
- 2 | THE WITNESS:
- 3 | It's 45?
- 4 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 5 45, yes.
- 6 | THE WITNESS:
- 7 Yeah, okay.
- 8 | I see it.
- 9 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 10 | Q.And this is an e-mail from you to Mr. Subedi on
- 11 | June 22nd, 2020.
- 12 | Is that right?
- 13 A. Yeah. Yes, it is.
- 14 | Q.And this was during Wage and Hour's
- 15 investigation?
- 16 | A.I guess it was. I don't know.
- 17 | O.Sure.
- 18 | The first sentence in this e-mail says, please
- 19 | tell me if I understand correctly what was done in
- 20 regard to overtime as of the first pay period on
- 21 August 2018.
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 | A.Yeah. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 24 Q.And the last sentence here says, was it the
- 25 | policy to pay the lower rate when there was overtime



- 1 | and the higher rate when there was no overtime?
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A.Yes.
- 4 | Q.Why did you ask that?
- 5 | A.I think it's --- that's described before that.
- 6 We're talking about the fixed number of hours served
- 7 and consumers that were approved. Regular hours were
- 8 | 124 each pay period. He worked 116 hours and was paid
- 9 \$10.83 at the time for overtime. He worked --- at a
- 10 later pay, he worked 51 hours, and was paid \$12.50 an
- 11 | hour. Right? Yeah, I see that.
- 12 | What's wrong with that?
- 13 | O.Well, that's what I want to know. Why did you
- 14 ask, based on your view of these records, about the
- 15 | overtime policy?
- 16 A.Well, because I wanted to know. They could have
- 17 | paid the minimum wage. They chose that pay period
- 18 | because that's the enforceable rate in a nine week,
- 19 | ten week. And we just wanted to know why they paid
- 20 | that rate. You know, I can see it. I can't see that
- 21 | that's not a violation of the law.
- 22 | Q.Did you tell anyone in Intra-National that paying
- 23 | Mr. Hussein Seefe and his ??? (1:47:38) this way
- 24 | violated the Fair Labor Standards Act?
- 25 | A.You mean the 51 hours at \$12.50? Is that what



- 1 | you're talking about?
- 2 | Q.Well, I only want to review this whole paragraph
- 3 | that we've looked at for this employee with these
- 4 | various adjustments to his rate.
- 5 A.Well, look, they adjusted. They paid him ---
- 6 established a new rate, and paid him time and a half
- 7 | that rate. He got to a 51-hour work week, biweekly
- 8 period. There's no overtime worked. The employer has
- 9 | a perfect right to pay any rate he or she chooses,
- 10 because the only thing the law can enforce is minimum
- 11 | wage in that week.
- 12 | Q.Well, if they worked 124 hours in a pay period,
- 13 | you split that in half, that's 62 hours a week. So
- 14 | there's overtime
- 15 | A.It's not 51. You can't tell from here whether
- 16 the 51 hours were 25 and 26 or 30 and 21. There's no
- 17 | overtime in that week. The way I saw this, there was
- 18 | no overtime in that pay period. So therefore, the
- 19 only thing is due is the minimum wage, because the
- 20 | minimum wage where the overtime requirement is to pay
- 21 | time and a half the first week at the rate in the
- 22 | first week of an overtime week. And there's no
- 23 | overtime at this point.
- 24 | O.I don't want to argue with you on that, but did
- 25 | you tell anyone at Intra-National that their payment



- 1 of Mr. Seefe was compliant with the Fair Labor
- 2 | Standards Act?
- 3 A.No, not that I can recall. Not that I can
- 4 recall.
- 5
- 6 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 7 | 46, E-mail, dated 2/14/23, was marked
- 8 | for identification.)
- 9
- 10 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 11 | Q.Let's look at the next exhibit, Exhibit 46.
- 12 | A.Okay.
- 13 | O.And it looks like this reflects some
- 14 | communication between you and Mr. Subedi about
- 15 records.
- 16 Do you agree with that?
- 17 A. Yeah, apparently. I think that's right.
- 18 Q.Sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 A.I think that's right because it refers on a
- 20 | record sent at 11:00 a.m. Wednesday, Payroll or
- 21 | calculations I had to do. I wanted to know that.
- 22 | Q.And you had asked him to send some records in
- 23 | Excel format. Do you see that?
- 24 A.Yeah.
- 25 | Q.Did you get them in Excel format?



1 A.I would have no idea. I'm technologically limited 2 If I'm using a term like Excel format, somebody else 3 gave it to me. I think that they may have said I've 4 sent it in Excel because I don't know what it is. 5 THE WITNESS: 6 I don't want you to disappear. 7 ATTORNEY FOX: 8 She's completely disappeared. Let's 9 see. 10 THE WITNESS: 11 I kept you going. 12 13 (WHEREUPON, A LUNCHY BREAK WAS TAKEN.) 14 15 ATTORNEY LUBY: 16 Back on the record after a lunch break. 17 ____ 18 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit 42, E-mail, dated 9/23/21, was marked 19 20 for identification.) 21 22 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 23 Q.And I have some more, a few more, exhibits to go 24 over. The first one is Exhibit 42.



A.Can you hear me?

25

- 1 | O.Oh, I can now.
- 2 | A.Oh, okay.
- 3 | Q.Can you hear me okay?
- 4 A.Yeah, yeah. It's just you weren't reacting, and
- 5 | I'd thought you couldn't hear me.
- 6 Q.Okay.
- 7 | Well, I think we've got it worked down now.
- 8 | Exhibit 42?
- 9 A.Yeah.
- 10 | Q.Okay.
- 11 | Looking at about the middle of the page, it says
- 12 from Meg Subedi to you dated September 23rd, 2021.
- 13 Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yeah. Yes.
- 15 | Q.That was about a month before the Department of
- 16 Labor filed suit. It looks like you're still trying
- 17 | to look at some records from Scranton.
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A.Yes.
- 20 Q.Right below. Why were you looking at payroll
- 21 | records during that period of time?
- 22 A.Well, that's been given Wage and Hour law office,
- 23 and I can't think of their exact name, had initiated
- 24 | an investigation in Scranton. And I don't know that I
- 25 | was looking at payroll records, but what I did was



- 1 speak to the Pennsylvania investigator and get that
- 2 | ended, because they said there's no such as --- you're
- 3 doing the same thing that the other people are doing.
- 4 Q.Oh, okay.
- 5 | So this is the same ---? I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 6 A.I'm sorry. I had to have some argument to make
- 7 | --- to convince that investigator. Right? So I did.
- 8 Q.Okay.
- 9 | So they agreed to close that?
- 10 A. They closed that, yeah.
- 11 | Q.Did you ever look at the sample of the records
- 12 | from Scranton?
- 13 A.No, wasn't necessary.
- 14
- 15 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition
- 16 | Exhibit 41, E-mail, dated 9/30/21, was
- 17 | marked for identification.)
- 18
- 19 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 20 Q.Okay.
- 21 Let's go back to Exhibit 41. And look at ---
- 22 | well, are you on Exhibit 41?
- 23 A.Yeah, yeah.
- Q.It looks like on September 30th of 2021, you're
- 25 | telling Mr. Subedi they're having some trouble opening



- 1 some records that he sent.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A.Yeah, I see that.
- 4 Q.And it's called an SCR sample. Is that what it
- 5 | says in the subject line of the email?
- 6 A.I don't see an SCR sample, but maybe there's
- 7 another page.
- 8 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 9 On the first page.
- 10 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 11 | Q.Yeah, the other page doesn't have much except for
- 12 | a couple lines.
- 13 A.Oh, oh. I see it. I see what you're
- 14 talking about. Yeah.
- 15 | Q.So what's an SCR sample?
- 16 A.I have no idea.
- 17 Q.Okay.
- 18 Do you know what these files were?
- 19 A.Sorry.
- Q.Do you know what these files were? It looks like
- 21 | something TS 2019 and TS 2020 on the second page.
- 22 A.I don't see any reference to page two. I don't
- 23 | see any reference to it in that document.
- Q.Yeah. So when I look at page two, I don't know
- 25 if this is the SCR or not. It looks like it's ???



- 1 (1:56:39) One K-A-B-A-R-I ???
- 2 | A.Oh. Well, that's obviously an ??? (1:56:50)
- 3 | name, that first name is. So I wouldn't have any
- 4 idea.
- 5 Q.Okay. That's fine.
- 6 | I want to --- back on page one, direct your
- 7 attention to this email that you sent on October 2nd,
- 8 | 2021. It says, has Intra-National stopped reducing
- 9 the regular rate when more than scheduled hours are
- 10 | worked by the DCW at all locations, and if so, when?
- 11 | Do you see that?
- 12 A.Yeah.
- 13 | Q.Why did you ask that question?
- 14 A.I don't remember, but it's pretty obvious. I'd
- 15 | like to see, since I was told by the Department of
- 16 Labor that was a violation, as to whether they stopped
- 17 | it.
- 18 | O.Did you, at this point in October of 2021,
- 19 | communicate to anyone at Intra-National that doing
- 20 | that would be a violation?
- 21 | A.I told Dilli the first time they told me. When I
- 22 | walked out --- when I walked out of a meeting with the
- 23 | investigator, I told him. I told him. He was sitting
- 24 | across the room from the door. And I said to him,
- 25 | they say you're in violation. And his reply was,



- 1 | well, we got to do something about that.
- 2 | Q.You're talking about the first time that the
- 3 | investigator came to worksite?
- 4 A.Yes. In January of 2020. Yes.
- 5 | Q.Are you sure that Dilli was there?
- 6 A.Yes.
- 7 Q.Okay.
- 8 | A.I can still picture it.
- 9 Q. Were there any other managers from Intra-National
- 10 | who were part of that discussion?
- 11 A.I don't know. I don't remember.
- 12 | Q.Okay. That's fine.
- 13 | Tell me everything you remember about that
- 14 | conversation.
- 15 A.That was it. The conversation was they say
- 16 | you're in violation, and he made some comment to the
- 17 | effective of well, we've got to figure it out or
- 18 | something like that. That was the end of the
- 19 | conversation.
- 20 Q.He said he had to what? I'm sorry.
- 21 A.I don't remember exactly what he said, but he was
- 22 | obviously angry and made a negative comment about that
- 23 | information. I think that's the best way to describe
- 24 | it.
- 25 | Q.Was he angry with you?



- 1 A.Pardon?
- 2 | Q.Was he angry with you?
- 3 A.I don't remember that he was. I don't know. I
- 4 | probably would not have been very pleased if he was.
- 5 | Q.I'm just wondering why you had the impression
- 6 | that he was angry?
- 7 A.I'm old enough to recognize when some guy
- 8 responds that he's angry. I mean, you know. Why?
- 9 Because it was obvious to me. That's why. Holy
- 10 | smoke.
- 11 | Q.That's why you had the discussion with him right
- 12 | after the investigator left?
- 13 A.Pardon?
- 14 | Q.So you had this discussion right after the
- 15 | investigator left?
- 16 A.No, the investigator was still in there. He was
- 17 | still working with directors.
- 18 Q.Oh, I see. But it was just you and Mr. Adhikari
- 19 | having this discussion or did you discuss it in front
- 20 of the investigator?
- 21 | A.It wasn't in front of the investigator. I closed
- 22 | the door. He was in there making some transcriptions,
- 23 and I think we talked about this before. He made
- 24 | transcriptions of some kind. Typically, here's what
- 25 he would do. In any way to our investigation, he saw



- 1 | something. He didn't have all the records. He would
- 2 | make some notes, transcriptions to show what he found
- 3 | and go from there. That would be typical.
- 4 | And I didn't witness it, but I certainly would
- 5 | have expected it above him because it's routine.
- 6 Q.Did you have any discussions with Mr. Adhikari
- 7 | about that pay practice after that day?
- 8 A.I don't remember. I don't remember.
- 9 | Q.Do you know if you had any discussions about ---
- 10 | well, let me bring it up. Going back to Exhibit 41,
- 11 | did Mr. Subedi answer your question?
- 12 | A.What question?
- 13 Q.Has Intra-National stopped reducing the regular
- 14 rate when more than the scheduled hours are worked at
- 15 | the DCW at all locations, and if so, when?
- 16 | A.I don't remember.
- 17 | O.Do you remember, did you find out the answer?
- 18 Like, were --- by looking at the records, were you
- 19 | able to tell?
- 20 A.Please understand. I would ask for things never
- 21 kept. That was an ongoing problem. Some things that
- 22 | I thought I should see, and I would not get them and I
- 23 | --- that I asked for. And then I'd have to look for
- 24 | another avenue to deal with what I was trying to find
- 25 | out. But they weren't always responsive to my



85

- 1 requests.
- 2 | Q.Give me examples of times that they were
- 3 | nonresponsive. What did you ask for that you didn't
- 4 | get?
- 5 A.Gee. I can't think of something specific, but
- 6 | you could probably see in some of these emails that I
- 7 asked him for information. And it was routine, almost
- 8 | routine that I wouldn't get what I asked for.
- 9 Don't forget, Meg was sick too at this time.
- 10 | O.You were sick? They were sick?
- 11 A.Meg was sick. Mr. Subedi was sick. So there
- 12 | were some problems when he would be there and that
- 13 | sort of thing.
- 14 | Q.Okay. Sure.
- 15 | Well, was the --- did you have problems receiving
- 16 | what you'd ask for at times when Mr. Subedi was
- 17 | healthy?
- 18 | A.I did make determination ---.
- 19 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 20 | I'm going to object to the form. I
- 21 | don't think we have testimony that he was healthy.
- 22 | THE WITNESS:
- 23 | I don't make --- I was going to say I
- 24 | don't make determinations about somebody's health.
- 25 It's JD, not MD. Sometimes, because I think I've seen



- 1 before that you're referring to --- there are some
- 2 references in here, we talked about them, we talked
- 3 about the documents. They refer to some way he sent
- 4 | them, and I didn't think or couldn't get them.
- 5 | Couldn't alter them and stuff like that.
- 6 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 7 Q.Okay.
- 8 | So Mr. Subedi's health and technological
- 9 difficulties. Were there any other reasons why you
- 10 | wouldn't get information that you requested?
- 11 | A.I have no idea about --- I can't know about any
- 12 other reasons why I didn't get what I requested. I
- 13 know that I got some I deal with because I couldn't
- open them and somebody didn't get. That's all I can
- 15 | tell you.
- 16
- 17 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 18 | 40, E-mail, dated 10/13/21, was marked
- 19 | for identification.)
- 20 | ---
- 21 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 22 Q.Fair enough.
- 23 | Let's see. Let's look at Exhibit 40. I'm
- 24 looking at an email from you to Mr. Subedi about the
- 25 | Scranton investigation, and it's dated October 13th,



- 1 2021.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A.Yes.
- 4 Q.Great. Thank you. So I want to direct your
- 5 attention to the first paragraph. Sorry, first
- 6 sentence of the second paragraph. It says, as you
- 7 know, the fact that you're reducing the rate of pay
- 8 | when the hours worked exceed the standard hours was a
- 9 practice with almost all the Nepali providers.
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 | A.Yes.
- 12 | Q.How did you know that?
- 13 A.I suppose somebody told me because that refers to
- 14 | all the Nepali providers. Actually, you should know
- 15 because the DOL investigated a number of them and
- 16 | found the same practice.
- 17 | Q.Were you a consultant on --- with any of those
- 18 | ---?
- 19 A.No, I'm sorry. That was information that I got
- 20 | from some way, that they were --- that the Nepali
- 21 | people adopted the same thing, the same process. And
- 22 | I'm trying to think. Well, yeah, I saw what other
- 23 | Nepalis did.
- 24 Q.Okay.
- 25 A.Actually, I'll explain to you. That was Everest,



- 88
- 1 | and that's the one we agreed with our proposal that
- 2 | that was the only violation that we could have settled
- 3 on that basis.
- 4 | Q.So I'm looking at the last couple sentences here.
- 5 | It says I must have a complete understanding of what
- 6 | went on in Scranton for the last two years.
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A.Yeah. Uh-huh (yes.)
- 9 Q.So until this state investigation started, had
- 10 | you been providing any kind of ongoing advice or
- 11 | information to Intra-National?
- 12 A.First of all, that's a very broad, general
- 13 question. I generally was not providing information
- 14 directly to Intra-National because --- I have reasons
- 15 | for that. When you have a theory that you're trying
- 16 to develop and you talk about that theory and it
- 17 doesn't work out, you have very disappointed people.
- 18 | So I just didn't run with every thought I had to
- 19 Intra-National.
- 20 And this references I was trying to convince the
- 21 | State of Pennsylvania, who are no less dogged than the
- 22 US Department of Labor, that they should drop that
- 23 | case. And that's what I was trying to do.
- 24 | O.What I'm trying to figure out really is the
- 25 | nature of your business relationship, I guess, with or



- 1 | the nature of your consulting relationship with Intra-
- 2 | National because I've got testimony in the record that
- 3 you're reviewing every table before it goes out. They
- 4 | call you anytime they have a question about payroll.
- 5 They get ongoing legal advice from you, that you
- 6 approve of their pay practice, all kinds of things
- 7 | like that. I'm trying to figure out --- you know, I
- 8 | want to know from you, I guess, how often and, you
- 9 know, for what purposes you interacted with Intra-
- 10 | National?
- 11 | ATTORNEY FOX:
- 12 | I'm going to object to the form. It's
- 13 | vague and ambiguous and multipart question.
- 14 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 15 | Q.Yeah, that's not really my question. I'm just
- 16 | trying to set a frame for what I'm trying to find out
- 17 here. So just directing your attention back to this
- October 13th, 2021 email, it seems to me that it had
- 19 been a while since you looked at Intra-National's
- 20 payroll practices.
- 21 Is that true?
- 22 | A.Well, that's a --- that's a general question.
- 23 | Yeah, it's true. This has to be narrowed down to what
- 24 I'm trying to do here. I did not even know at one
- 25 | point that they had a state Scranton operation.



1 There's a contact from the State of Pennsylvania. 2 They want to look at Intra-National. My job was to 3 keep them from looking at Intra-National because here 4 They're not guite as --- now you have another agency. 5 this is only my opinion. They're not quite as adept 6 at what they do as the US Department of Labor. And it 7 could turn into an unbelievable mess because they 8 don't function the way I think they should and I'm 9 So the idea was to drop it, to get them to 10 And I did. That's what was going on. drop it. 11 Q.So what I've heard so far today is that you 12 worked with Intra-National during the first state 13 investigation, then during the Wage and Hour federal 14 investigation, and then also during this 2021 state 15 investigation. Do you do anything else besides 16 providing advise to violate companies under 17 investigation? 18 A.Well, there's a couple of things. I don't 19 remember another state investigation. I don't recall 20 My recollection is there was one proposed state 21 investigation. And when I talked to the investigator, 22 that investigation was stopped because they were 23 already investigated or by the state, they may have 24 been sued by that time. That's what I'm talking 25 about. I don't remember another state investigation.



- 1 Actually, I never looked at any locations. One in
- 2 Michigan, for example. It existed. I found out that
- 3 | it existed. I didn't have anything to do with it.
- 4 | There were others. I just didn't pay attention.
- 5 | Didn't have to.
- 6 Q.What about the private lawsuits? Did you have
- 7 | any involvement with those?
- 8 A.No. All I know is the private lawsuits started,
- 9 | and I think --- and I shouldn't say I know. I think
- 10 | that it started but I'm not certain.
- 11 | Q.So you provided consulting services during the
- 12 | Wage and Hour investigation and during the state
- 13 | investigation. Did you provide consulting services to
- 14 | Intra-National at any other time?
- 15 A.Well, you keep saying did I provide consulting
- 16 | services. What do you mean by that?
- 17 | O.Well, whatever it is that you do when you
- 18 | interact with Intra-National. I don't want to get a
- 19 | fight with you over terminology. There's talking,
- 20 | there's calling on the phone, there's having meetings,
- 21 | there's looking at records. I don't know what you
- 22 | want to call that.
- 23 A. There's a heck of a difference between some of
- 24 | those things and providing counseling. I mean, I just
- 25 | don't quite understand when you ask questions like



- 1 | that, because I wasn't --- I wasn't counseling
- 2 anybody, you know. You make a statement to them and
- 3 | whether they follow it or not, that's their business.
- 4 You can't stop them. I had no power to make them do
- 5 anything that I would have thought they should have
- 6 | done. It's a matter of pointing it out. If you do
- 7 | that, then it's persuasion, you know. I didn't have
- 8 | the power to say you better do this. I can't.
- 9 Q.Okay. Thank you.
- 10 Did you have any interactions with Intra-National
- 11 | that didn't involve a government investigation?
- 12 A.Absolutely not. I don't know what you mean by
- other kinds of --- I mean, I socialized. That's for
- 14 | sure.
- 15 | O.Great, because, now Mr. Adhikari made it seem
- 16 | that they have an ongoing relationship with you, that
- 17 | you give them ongoing legal advice about their paying
- 18 | practices. It sounds like you're telling me that's
- 19 | not true?
- 20 A.I'm saying Mr. Adhikari is exaggerating to a
- 21 degree, and he wasn't getting the information directly
- 22 | from me, you know. I mean, he character --- if he's
- 23 | characterizing it that way, that's simply inaccurate,
- 24 | although I would say that Mr. Adhikari's relying ---
- 25 | it's his perception of that, I would say.



1 2 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit 3 39, E-mail, dated 2/14/23, was marked 4 for identification.) 5 6 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: 7 0.0kay. 8 So let's look at a couple more e-mails. Let's 9 look at Exhibit 39. And you see an email there? It's 10 directed to Inspector --- I'm going to say Piccillo, 11 P-I-C-C-I-L-L-O. 12 A.What's the date of it? Oh, I see it. That's 13 Salvatore Piccillo. It's Piccillo, like the ---. 14 O.Piccillo, oh. Of course it is. Was he the 15 investigator from the state investigation that you're 16 just talking about? 17 A. Yeah, he was the investigator. They don't call 18 them investigators. They call something else. 19 Q.Oh, thank you. And this is the email that you 20 sent to him about the investigation? 21 A.No, it's from me obviously. That's true. 22 Q.Okay. 23 How did you learn that there was a state agency 24 investigation of Intra-National? 25 A.I don't remember. I don't remember.



March 22, 2023

1 0.0kay. 2 So earlier you were saying that you weren't 3 providing consulting services. But when you were 4 pointing things out, you said, what kind of things 5 about Intra-National --- other than this one 6 discussion that you had with Mr. Adhikari when Wage 7 and Hour came to the worksite, did you point any other 8 things out to the management and Intra-National about 9 their FLSA compliance? 10 A. They are --- pointing things out. I don't know 11 what you mean by pointing things out. You have to ---12 please understand that these things are going on. The 13 approach that Subedi would take way back is looking at 14 things and seeing potential problems, whether they 15 --- , the extend of those problems, and how there are 16 ---could they be solved? If they're not, what's the That's the process. 17 consequence? 18 So it's an ongoing process when you're involved 19 in something like this. So the specifics of it are 20 very difficult to deal with, to describe, or to remember. You know, it's constantly --- well, I 21 22 shouldn't say constantly. Pretty regularly, trying to 23 find out how this can resolve, if it can be. And you 24 look at what a whole bunch of people are doing. 25 look at what the Department of Labor was doing. And



- 1 | it was very, very different or very, very attitudes
- 2 | toward or beliefs as women's ??? (2:19:18) or should
- 3 | be.
- 4 Don't forget, I was with the Department of Labor.
- 5 | I was responsible for this kind of stuff. And I look
- 6 out from that standpoint.
- 7 Q.No, understood. So when you did identify an
- 8 | issue and pointed it out to Intra-National, did they
- 9 | always correct it?
- 10 A.Well, you can see by some of these things that
- 11 | I'm asking, I first saw the issue of the deduction or
- 12 | reduction of the regular rate in January of 2020, and
- 13 I'm asking the question of Meg Subedi in 2021, did
- 14 | they start or still doing it? Where? You can see
- 15 that in the meantime, I had no means to know.
- 16 | 0.0kay.
- 17 | I want to take you back to Exhibit 28.
- 18 | A.Okay.
- 19 Q.Now, Mr. Adhikari testified that he created this
- 20 | system based on your advice.
- 21 Does that --- do you know anything about that?
- 22 A.Absolutely not.
- 23 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 24 | Objection to form.
- 25 | What system?



- 1 THE WITNESS:
- 2 | If you're talking about ---?
- 3 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 4 Exhibit 28.
- 5 | THE WITNESS:
- 6 | I don't know anything about this. I did
- 7 | not develop the denti system. They did whatever.
- 8 | There's no way I could. I didn't know anything about
- 9 | their finances. I didn't know what they --- what
- 10 | their expenses were. There's no way I could do
- 11 | anything like that. Nor am I qualified to do anything
- 12 | like that.
- 13 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 14 Q. Have you given them any explanation of FLSA
- 15 | requirements in general before July 2018?
- 16 A.No, I wouldn't do that.
- 17 | 0.0kay.
- 18 | Did you provide any training to Mr. Subedi about
- 19 | how to follow policies set forth in Exhibit 28?
- 20 A.Absolutely not.
- 21 Q.Okay. Sorry for the delay. I was skipping ahead
- 22 | a little bit, so that'll safe some time at the end.
- 23 | Since 2018, have you reviewed Intra-National's
- 24 | payroll records on a monthly basis?
- 25 | A.I'm sorry. Was that the question?



- 1 | O.Yes. I'm sorry. Since 2018, have you reviewed
- 2 | Intra-National's payroll records on a monthly basis?
- 3 | A.No, I did not.
- 4 | Q.Does Mr. Subedi call you when he has questions
- 5 | about FLSA compliance?
- 6 A.No. I shouldn't say no. He would not typically
- 7 do that. I don't recall him calling me and asking me
- 8 | an FLSA question. I don't recall.
- 9 | Q.Would he --- was he in the practice of emailing
- 10 | you if he had questions about the FLSA?
- 11 | A.Not that I recall.
- 12
- 13 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 14 22, ADP Records, was marked for
- 15 | identification.)
- 16 | ---
- 17 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 18 | Q.Okay.
- 19 | I want to take a look at Exhibit 22, which is the
- 20 | first exhibit in the binder.
- 21 | A.Okay. Find 22.
- 22 | Q.Great. And you see it says ADP, employee's
- 23 | record in the lower left-hand corner?
- 24 A.Yeah, I see that.
- 25 Q.Okay.



- 1 Did you review these records during Wage and
- 2 | Hours' investigation? Did you see these records or
- 3 | any of them during Wage and Hours' investigation?
- 4 | A.I don't recall. It wasn't typical. Wage and
- 5 | Hour was doing the investigation. I wasn't doing the
- 6 investigation. I wish I was doing it. We gave them
- 7 | the records. That's it.
- 8 Q.Okay.
- 9 | So your involvement during that investigation was
- 10 to make sure that the payroll records were received by
- 11 | Wage and Hour, and that was it?
- 12 A.Yeah. Principally, that was it because that was
- 13 | the commitment that was made. That's what I
- 14 understood we were doing. Of course, you have an
- obligation to provide Wage and Hour with the records.
- 16 There's no question. You would have to --- you'd have
- 17 to because next thing you know you'd have enemies in
- 18 | Wage Hour not get what they wanted. You know, don't
- 19 | forget, I understand their point of view. I lived it
- 20 for 30 years.
- 21
- 22 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 23 | 23, Intra-National Records, was marked
- 24 | for identification.)
- 25 | --



- 1 | BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 2 Q.Yeah, I think we're on the same page here.
- 3 | Absolutely. Let's see. Let's look at Exhibit 23, the
- 4 | next one in the binder.
- 5 A.Okay.
- 6 | I have it.
- 7 Q.Do you recognize this document?
- 8 | A.No, I don't recognize it.
- 9 Q.Okay.
- 10 Has Intra-National ever sent you documents in
- 11 | this format to look at?
- 12 A.I don't recall getting the format.
- 13
- 14 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 15 24, Earning Statement, was marked for
- 16 | identification.)
- 17
- 18 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 19 Q.Okay.
- 20 Let's look at Exhibit 24.
- 21 A.Okay.
- 22 | Q.And do you see the record that says earnings
- 23 | statement, ADB in the upper right?
- 24 A.Okay.
- 25 | I see that. Yeah.



- 1 | Q.We talked a little bit about during Wage and
- 2 | Hours' investigation, you looked at some sample
- 3 employees and how they were paid. When you did that,
- 4 | was that based on records like Exhibit 25 or Exhibit
- 5 23 or something else?
- 6
- 7 (Whereupon, Linkosky Deposition Exhibit
- 8 25, Earning Record, was marked for
- 9 identification.)
- 10
- 11 | THE WITNESS:
- 12 | Well, this is 23, because I just told
- 13 you I hadn't seen those records. I really did not get
- 14 into the records at all. I didn't get into a lot of
- 15 records. I don't recall looking at these pay stubs
- 16 | because --- am I supposed to be in 25 or 24?
- 17 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 18 | Q.No, 24.
- 19 A.24. Okay.
- 20 | 0.22 and 24.
- 21 | A.Well, 24 doesn't tell you anything. If you look
- 22 | at 24, that's group ??? (2:29:22).
- 23 | Q.So when you look at something more like 25, do
- 24 | you recognize it? It says ADP including earnings
- 25 | record in the lower left.



- 1 A. Yeah, this kind of record just wasn't really this
- 2 | way. Left to right and right to left. I don't --- I
- 3 | don't recall looking at it.
- 4 | O.Oh, yeah. That's true. You kind of have to
- 5 rotate the exhibit. But are earnings, employee
- 6 | earnings records like this, the kind of document that
- 7 | you looked at during Wage and Hours' investigation?
- 8 | A.I'd like to show you this --- you turn it this
- 9 way, across the page top to bottom. Really? I don't
- 10 | recall looking at those at all.
- 11 | Q.Okay.
- 12 After the investigation that focused on Scranton,
- 13 | did you review any payroll records from Intra-
- 14 | National?
- 15 | A.I don't recall.
- 16 | O.Did you provide any advice to Intra-National?
- 17 | A.No. About what? Advice about what?
- 18 Q.Well, let's start broad and say any advice about
- 19 | meeting FLSA.
- 20 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 21 | I'm sorry. Can I have the question
- 22 | back?
- 23 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 24 Are you asking the court reporter or are
- 25 | you asking me?



- 1 ATTORNEY FOX: 2 Either one. 3 BY ATTORNEY LUBY: O.I asked if he had given any advice about the 4 5 FLSA? 6 ATTORNEY FOX: 7 Objection to form. It's overly broad. 8 THE WITNESS: I can't --- I can't recall. This whole 9 idea that I was regularly, if that's the idea, 10 11 regularly giving advice to Intra-National or anybody 12 else, well, I shouldn't say it that way. To Intra-13 National about the advice is just not probable in the 14 way you deal with these things. You're not going to 15 the employer all the time saying oh yeah, you 16 shouldn't be doing this and this is what it is and you 17 should be doing this. 18 We made arrangements. Wage and Hour was 19 getting directors. Actually, until we got back from 20 Wage and Hour what their conclusions were, because we 21 were getting some variety of conclusions if you 22 remember, we read one of these emails where it said,
- well, just one reduction of the rate when there were
 more than fix hours is not necessarily --- not enough.
- 25 | I also had feedback from Wage Hour that that was the



- 1 only violation, you know.
- 2 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 3 | Q.Did you help the parties work that out?
- 4 | A.Pardon?
- 5 | Q.So you provided --- let me withdraw that.
- 6 | It sounds like your role was primarily limited to
- 7 | assisting Intra-National during government
- 8 | investigations?
- 9 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 10 | Object to the form.
- 11 | THE WITNESS:
- 12 Your question was interrupted by
- 13 | something. Can you repeat it please?
- 14 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 15 Q.Oh, sure. The services you provided to Intra-
- 16 National, were they focused exclusively on government
- 17 | investigations?
- 18 A.Well, first of all, I was providing the
- 19 information to Obermayer. And what kind of government
- 20 investigation do you remember of an FLSA specialist?
- 21 Q.Right.
- 22 | So government investigations, I guess, we've
- 23 identified two so far, the Scranton investigation by
- 24 | the state and the Wage and Hour investigation. You
- 25 assisted with both those.



- 1 Did you assist with anything else?
- 2 | A.Anything else? That's too broad a question. I
- 3 | can't answer, you know, give you a short answer to
- 4 that. I was doing all kinds of --- well, I shouldn't
- 5 | say all kinds, but I was also doing work on non-
- 6 related cases for Obermayer. FLSA issues, you know,
- 7 but not necessarily for ---.
- 8 Q.I just meant for Intra-National. So you advised
- 9 Intra-National about the FLSA through the Wage and
- 10 | Hour investigation, the state investigation. Did you
- 11 | advise them during any other --- well, let's start
- 12 | with government investigations?
- 13 A.Reacting to the investigations, not necessarily
- 14 given advice. I was reacting. That state
- 15 | investigation, I was reacting to what they wanted and
- what they were going to do and what they were trying
- 17 to do and why they should have any reason to do it.
- 18 You know, I think with them, I just thought that
- 19 | the best thing for anybody was to get them off the
- 20 case because there's no sense duplicating. You're
- 21 going to have --- you're going to have competing
- 22 arguments. And frankly, the state is not very good at
- 23 | this.
- 24 My humble opinion, you know, if I had a choice
- 25 having a single entity subject to both, I'd rather



- 1 have my job, which I understand more, of course.
- 2 | Q.And so let me write it this way. When is the
- 3 | last time that you billed Obermayer for working with
- 4 | Intra-National?
- 5 | A.I don't remember.
- 6 Q.I'm sorry. You broke up for a minute there.
- 7 A.I don't remember.
- 8 Q.Okay.
- 9 Do you know if you billed them this year?
- 10 | A.I'm sorry.
- 11 | Q.Do you know if you billed them in 2023?
- 12 | ATTORNEY FOX:
- 13 | For Obermayer or anybody else?
- 14 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 15 Q.Well, I asked if he billed Obermayer since 2023.
- 16 A.I probably have, yes.
- 17 | O.For services for Intra-National?
- 18 | A.I billed Obermayer for services to Obermayer
- 19 | definitely.
- 20 Q.Okay.
- 21 | Did you bill Obermayer --- what did you say? I'm
- 22 | sorry. Can you repeat that?
- 23 | A.I billed Obermayer definitely. I didn't bill
- 24 | Intra-National. You know I was here for depositions
- 25 | in '23.



- 1 | Right? I was here for Mr. Adhikari's deposition.
- 2 | So, I mean, I would have billed that. But I can't
- 3 | ---.
- 4 | Q.How about for services you provided to Obermayer?
- 5 A.Sure. I was here to see what he was saying for
- 6 Obermayer.
- 7 Q.Has it ever come to your attention that Intra-
- 8 | National has a practice of making miscellaneous
- 9 | deductions from an employee's pay?
- 10 A.Can you repeat that please, ma'am? Can you
- 11 | repeat it please?
- 12 Q.Yeah. Has it ever come to your attention that
- 13 | Wage and Hour --- sorry. Has it ever come to your
- 14 attention that Intra-National subtracts miscellaneous
- 15 | deductions from employees' pay?
- 16 A.Yes, Mr. Heeter told me that.
- 17 | Q.Did you discuss that with Intra-National?
- 18 A.I asked why, Yes. I didn't discuss it. I asked
- 19 | why you're doing it. Oh, no. I asked why you're
- 20 doing it. I believe Mr. Heeter. I mean, you know, if
- 21 he said they're doing it, they're doing it. I said,
- 22 okay, why are you doing it? That's all.
- 23 Q.Who do you ask?
- 24 A.Meg Subedi.
- 25 Q.And what did he say?



March 22, 2023 107

- A. They prepared their payrolls, it seems, like in 1 2 advance, because they had --- and I'm not sure of that 3 but it seems possible, at least because they work with 4 The hours don't change except fixed hours. 5 intermittently so it would be possible to have the payroll done beforehand until you got the records. 6 7 I wouldn't do it, but it could be. And then they 8 would receive notice from the MCO that, for example, 9 the recipient of services was two days in the 10 hospital. Couldn't count the services, so they're not 11 going to pay for it. 12 So Intra-National had already paid for hours that 13 they're recovering. That was --- they're recovering 14 hours that were paid that should not have been paid
- they should be more prepared.

 What that raised in my mind was if this happened,
 reporting regularly that all the services on the ISP
 have been performed, verified by the recipient,
 alleged recipient of services, I'll use that in this
 context, alleged, and the DCW possibly could not have
 been performed, and Intra-National was being billed

for it and required to pay overtime when those

because they didn't know the guy didn't provide the

The MTC didn't provide the services because

services were not performed.

15

16

24

25

services.

- 1 Look, if the ISP said you got an hour and a half
- 2 for breakfast and the recipient said I don't feel
- 3 | well, I don't want breakfast, that should not have
- 4 been charged. But it was, at least, because it was so
- 5 standardized, they took the ISP hours as charged as
- 6 done.
- 7 | That's why I think Wage Hour, if they understood
- 8 | this program, would have looked into the accuracy of
- 9 the records. The law says the employer must keep an
- 10 | accurate record of the daily and weekly hours work.
- 11 | These people could have very well been instructed.
- 12 When you start to make breakfast, put down 7:00 when
- 13 | you start. Put down 10:30 when you finish. And those
- 14 hours are the actual hours worked.
- 15 That was never done in this case. Everybody
- 16 | reported what the ISP said the third party had
- 17 established. Those records were established by the
- 18 | service coordinator. Those hours and those duties
- 19 | were established by the service coordinator, and there
- 20 | was an assumption that they were always done.
- 21 And when would the Service Coordinator show up?
- 22 | Every three months. That's what I heard. So nobody
- 23 | was supervising any of this stuff.
- 24 | And I really --- it's my opinion that the DOL
- 25 | should have looked into that. Look, I have never seen



- 1 | a Wage Hour investigation under these circumstances
- 2 | when you have all these parties involved making vital
- 3 decisions and then it's up to Wage Hour to sort them
- 4 out. And I think they should have.
- 5 | Q.So when you learned about this miscellaneous pay,
- 6 | did you look at any payroll records to confirm what
- 7 ??? (2:43:11) was telling you?
- 8 A.No. I trust Brad Heeter. He will tell me --- he
- 9 | will not tell me something which wasn't happening.
- 10 Q.But did you look at any records to verify whether
- 11 | those deductions correlated with the kind of sounding
- 12 | billing fraud that you're talking about?
- 13 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 14 | Objection to form. There's been no
- 15 discussion of billing fraud.
- 16 | THE WITNESS:
- 17 | I didn't say it was billing fraud. I
- 18 | said it was a mistake, that they paid wages that they
- 19 | were later told by the DC or by the organization that
- 20 | they should not have paid and they would not be paid
- 21 | for them. That's the problem. There's no fraud
- 22 | involved in that thing. That's simply --- what it is,
- 23 | is a demonstration of the lack of control that Intra-
- 24 | National had. This is another way I looked at it. It
- 25 | was a lack of control that Intra-National had, which



- 1 | would be an essential part of being an employer.
- 2 | If you can't decide what --- if you
- 3 | don't know or can't decide what's to be paid, you
- 4 know. I understand the FLSA definition of employer is
- 5 | extremely broad. But other people have more duties as
- 6 employers than Intra-National. And, you know, it's my
- 7 | thrust in looking at this is try to establish that
- 8 | this was not true. You know, that they should not
- 9 have been summarily determined to be an employer
- 10 | without some analysis of what went on here.
- 11 | The MCO had all the power. The state
- 12 transferred the power to the MCO. They couldn't pick
- 13 employees. The recipient picked who --- they couldn't
- 14 decide not to hire one because the standards of the
- 15 | federal government told who could be hired.
- 16 BY ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 17 | O.So did Mr. Heeter show you that the miscellaneous
- 18 deductions on the payroll records correlated exactly
- 19 | with the overtime premium pay for the direct care
- 20 | workers?
- 21 | A.No, I don't believe Mr. Heeter would have told me
- 22 | something which wasn't true.
- 23 | Q.Did you look at the payroll records?
- 24 | Is that true?
- 25 | A.No, I just told you. I had Brian Heeter tell me



March 22, 2023 111

1 that he looked at records or something about the 2 records and saw that, and I accept it as fact. 3 ATTORNEY LUBY: How about we take a five-minute break? 4 5 I think we're about done here, but I just want to look 6 over my notes. I'll leave the chat if you want. 7 ATTORNEY FOX: 8 Yeah, sure. 9 10 (WHEREUPON, A SHORT BREAK WAS TAKEN.) 11 12 ATTORNEY LUBY: 13 Back on the record. I don't have any 14 more questions for you today. I wanted to thank you 15 for your time and your attention during the 16 deposition. And your Counsel may want to talk to you 17 about read and signing, but that's it for me. 18 ATTORNEY FOX: 19 I just have one question, one follow-up 20 question, Mr. Linkosky. 21 22 EXAMINATION 23 24 BY ATTORNEY FOX: 25 Q.During the course of your 30-year career with the



- 1 DOL and your subsequent career as a specialist in FLSA
- 2 | matters, have you ever seen an investigation that was
- 3 | conducted as poorly as this one?
- 4 | ATTORNEY LUBY:
- 5 Objection to form.
- 6 Go ahead.
- 7 | THE WITNESS:
- 8 | I have never seen an investigation of
- 9 any entity or any --- I'm using a lot of words. Any
- 10 | system like this. This is unique in so many different
- 11 | ways. I think that --- I think that there are
- 12 | shortcomings in the investigation process but I don't
- 13 | believe anybody --- at least I never saw anything
- 14 quite like this where you have all these parties
- 15 making decisions and you can't do anything about it.
- 16 And I don't know if the DOL could
- 17 have gone in and questioned this stuff. I know that
- 18 | people in Dilli's position couldn't go in there and
- 19 question the hours. I think the DOL could probably
- 20 have done it if they would have done it. But they had
- 21 | the restriction of the virus, which is another
- 22 | problem. I don't think the investigation was very
- 23 good on the investigators' level, period. Thank you.
- 24 ATTORNEY FOX:
- 25 Okay. Thank you.



March 22, 2023 113

1 I have no further questions. 2 ATTORNEY RUPRECHT: 3 John, one thing. Not my house. What 4 she's saying is, you have a right to request this 5 transcript. And when we get it, you've got 30 days to 6 see if you want to make corrections, additions or 7 that, or you can waive it. 8 What do you want to do? 9 THE WITNESS: 10 I want the transcript. 11 ATTORNEY RUPRECHT: 12 You want to read? 13 THE WITNESS: 14 Yes. 15 ATTORNEY RUPRECHT: 16 Okay. 17 ATTORNEY FOX: 18 Okay. 19 I think we're done then. 20 ATTORNEY LUBY: 21 Let's go off the record. 22 * * * * * * 23 DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 2:34 P.M. 24 25 COURT REPORTER:



March 22, 2023 114

1 Mr. Fox, I just need to know if she'd 2 like a copy. 3 ATTORNEY FOX: 4 Oh, the court reporter has a question. 5 I'm sure she's gone, but I'm sure she'll want a copy. 6 COURT REPORTER: 7 And would you like an email copy or hard 8 copy? 9 ATTORNEY FOX: 10 Whatever. You know, we'll want an email 11 copy, a hard copy and the mini script. I guess we 12 won't need the exhibits attached since we have this 13 binder. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



March 22, 2023

115

1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)
2	COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY)
3	CERTIFICATE
4	I, Benjamin Morrow, a Notary Public in and
5	for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the witness, John R. Linkosky, whose
8	testimony appears in the foregoing deposition, was
9	duly sworn by me on 3/22/23 and that the transcribed
LO	deposition of said witness is a true record of the
L1	testimony given by said witness; That the proceeding
L2	is herein recorded fully and accurately;
L3	That I am neither attorney nor counsel for,
L4	nor related to any of the parties to the action in
L5	which these depositions were taken, and further that I
L6	am not a relative of any attorney or counsel employed
L7	by the parties hereto, or financially interested in
L8	this action.
L9	Dated the 4th day of April, 2023
20	
21	Beriamin Morrow
22	Benjamin Morrow, Benjamin Morrow
23	Court Reporter
24	
25	



1	Reference No.: 9439585
2	
3	Case: WALSH V. INTRA NATIONAL HOME CARE
4	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
5	
6	I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Depo-
7	sition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for
8	changes and/or corrections, if any, as indi- cated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
9	hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.
LO	enebe enanges as it selli anael oaen.
L1	
L2	John R. Linkosky
L3	
L4	NOTARIZATION OF CHANGES
L5	(If Required)
L6	
L7	Subscribed and sworn to on the day of
L8	
L9	, 20, before me,
20	
21	(Notary Sign)
22	
23	(Print Name) Notary Public,
24	
25	in and for the State of



1	Reference No.: 9439585 Case: WALSH V. INTRA NATIONAL HOME CARE
2	GGGC WILDER V. INTIGUID HOLD GIME
3	Page NoLine NoChange to:
4	<u></u>
5	Reason for change:
6	Page NoLine NoChange to:
7	
8	Reason for change:
9	Page NoLine NoChange to:
10	
11	Reason for change:
12	Page NoLine NoChange to:
13	
14	Reason for change:
15	Page NoLine NoChange to:
16	
17	Reason for change:
18	Page NoLine NoChange to:
19	
20	Reason for change:
21	Page NoLine NoChange to:
22	
23	Reason for change:
24	CT CNIA DIIDE:
25	SIGNATURE:DATE: John R. Linkosky



1	Reference No.: 9439585 Case: WALSH V. INTRA NATIONAL HOME CARE
2	
3	Page NoLine NoChange to:
4	
5	Reason for change:
6	Page NoLine NoChange to:
7	- -
8	Reason for change:
9	Page NoLine NoChange to:
10	
11	Reason for change:
12	Page NoLine NoChange to:
13	
14	Reason for change:
15	Page NoLine NoChange to:
16	
17	Reason for change:
18	Page NoLine NoChange to:
19	
20	Reason for change:
21	Page NoLine NoChange to:
22	
23	Reason for change:
24	
25	SIGNATURE:DATE:Donn R. Linkosky



McKeegan, Hugh

Sent: To:	Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com> Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:07 PM McKeegan, Hugh Fwd: Intra National - Scranton 2021 pay stubs</msubedi@intrahc.com>
This is 10/59	
Forwarded message From: John R. Linkosky < <u>linklaw@</u> Date: Fri, Nov 12, 2021 at 10:39 Al Subject: Intra National - Scranton To: Meg Subedi < <u>msubedi@intrah</u>	Comcast.net> M 2021 pay stubs
Meg,	
I followed your instructions regard pay stubs.	ding the thumb drive and that location has only time sheets. I need to see the 2021
Can you e-mail to me the paystub: paystubs and time sheet?	s of 5-6 DCWs selected at random from each quarter of 2021, instead of all the 2021
Thanks, John	
John R. Linkosky	
John Linkosky & Assoc.	
Attorneys at Law	
715 Washington Ave	
Carnegie, PA 15106	
412-278-1280	
412-278-1282 (Fax)	
linklaw@comcast.net	

McKeegan, Hugh	McK	eegan	. Huah
----------------	-----	-------	--------

Attorneys at Law

McKeegan, Hugh	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com> Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:19 PM McKeegan, Hugh Fwd: DOL-Pittsburgh</msubedi@intrahc.com>
This is 25/59	
Forwarded message From: Meg Subedi < <u>msubedi@in</u> Date: Thu, Jun 25, 2020 at 4:55 P Subject: Fwd: DOL-Pittsburgh To: INTRANATIONAL HOMECARE	ntrahc.com>
Forwarded message From: John R. Linkosky < linklaw(Date: Mon, Jun 22, 2020 at 2:56 Subject: DOL-Pittsburgh To: Meg Subedi < msubedi@intra Cc: INTRANATIONAL HOMECARE	@comcast.net> PM
Meg,	
Please tell me if I understand co	rrectly what was done in regard to overtime as of the first pay period on August 2018.
was paid \$12.50 an hour, his rate worked a fixed number of hours were 124 each pay period. In pay half for the overtime. However,	ist 20018, the hourly rate paid to caregivers was reduced- For example, Ahmed Nsaif was reduced to \$10.62, and he was paid time and one half that rate thereafter. He serving the consumer that were approved by the Service Coordinator. The regular hours period ending 12/16/18, he worked 116 hours and was paid \$10.83 and time and one during the period 10/22/18 to 11/4/18 he worked 51 hours and was paid \$12.50 and be lower rate when there was overtime, and the higher rate when there was no
John	
John R. Linkosky	
John Linkosky & Assoc.	

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 123 of 153 PAGEID #: 26688

715 Washington Ave

Carnegie, PA 15106

412-278-1280

412-278-1282 (Fax)

linklaw@comcast.net

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of John Linkosky Assoc. that may be privileged and confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the information immediately.

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

McKeegan, Hugh

From: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:09 PM

To: McKeegan, Hugh

Subject: Fwd: Intra-National Home Care - Scranton, Pa.

This is 13/59

----- Forwarded message -----

From: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net>

Date: Wed, Nov 3, 2021 at 2:55 PM

Subject: RE: Intra-National Home Care - Scranton, Pa.

To: Piccillo, Salvatore <sapiccillo@pa.gov>

Cc: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com>, Fox, Bruce <bruce.fox@obermayer.com>, Thompson, George

<george.thompson@obermayer.com>

Inspector Piccillo,

I have received the records related to your inquiry, and will review them and report my findings to you.

As promised, here is a description of the program that is involved.

Medicaid eligible individuals can waive their right to enter nursing homes, and apply to have needed services provided in their homes. Application is made to the State of Pennsylvania Department of Human Services, which has the authority and responsibility to create and supervise a program to enable treatment for such applicants in their homes, from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services The State department employs what are called Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), to initiate, supervise, and direct the program the State created. Employees of the MCO called Service Coordinators, determine the eligibility of the applicant, the services to be performed, the hours of service to be provided, create an Individual Service Plan (ISP), which is sent to the State for approval. Upon approval, the prospective service provider called a Direct Care Worker (DCW), is selected by the Consumer, (recipient of the services) who also selects a Provider, which is the role played by Intra National. (IN). The identity of the selected DCW is sent to IN for vetting according to State requirements. If the proposed DCW passes the tests, he/she can be hired. IN is paid for its services according to a State schedule of rates, applied in 15 minute increments to the type and hours of service provided, for each hour of service provided by a DCW. From that amount, IN is to establish a rate of pay for the DCW, calculate the payroll, pay for all hours worked by the DCW, including overtime pay, pay applicable required taxes (FICA), absorb administrative costs, and the remainder is compensation for IN for the services provided. The amounts paid by the State are non-negotiable. The DCW, with the Consumer, reports the hours of service provided, which always match the total hours to be provided in the ISP, to IN via the MCO, from which IN calculates and pays the DCW. IN has no control whatsoever over the hours to be worked by the DCW, the duties to be performed, the selection the of the DCW, or any aspect of employment of the DCW. IN is essentially a payroll service

The U.S. Department of Labor, Wage-Hour Division, has conducted investigations of Intra National in Pennsylvania and Ohio, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, (FLSA), the compliment to the PMWA. Intra National has taken the position that Intra National is not an employer of the DCWs pursuant to the above facts of the relationship of Intra National to the DCWs. It is the position of Intra National that the State of Pennsylvania and the MCO are the joint employers of the DCWs. Those cases are in litigation in the respective federal courts for the Western District of Pennsylvania and the Southern District of Ohio. Due to the fact that the PMWA is interpreted in light of the FLSA, It seems to be practical to forego a PMWA investigation pending resolution of the federal cases., and I suggest that.

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 127 of 153 PAGEID #: 26692

However, you have informed me that you have evidence of the payment of straight time for all of 113 hours worked in a 2 week pay period. My review and familiarity with the payroll practices of Intra National lead me to believe that to be improbable,

but my experience in the area of overtime pay tells me that it may not be impossible. If you can provide me with the name of the DCW who had that experience, I assure you that I will thoroughly pursue its resolution.

If you have any questions, or need further explanation, Call me.

John R. Linkosky, Esq.

From: Piccillo, Salvatore < sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 8:59 AM

To: linklaw@comcast.net

Cc: Hickey, Joseph < <u>jhickey@pa.gov</u>> **Subject:** Intra-National Home Care

Attorney Lincosky: As per our conversation on 11/2/21 I will be awaiting the summary of your position on the case discussed.

Thank you, Salvatore

Salvatore Piccillo / Labor Law Investigator

Bureau of Labor Law Compliance

Pennsylvania Department of Labor & Industry

201-B State Office Building

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 128 of 153 PAGEID #: 26693

100 Lackawanna Avenue / Scranton PA 18503

Phone: 570-954-8710 / Email: sapiccillo@pa.gov

--

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

McKeegan, Hugh

412-278-1282 (Fax)

From: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com> Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:09 PM To: McKeegan, Hugh Subject: Fwd: Scranton investigation This is 14/59 ----- Forwarded message -----From: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net> Date: Wed, Oct 13, 2021 at 10:16 AM Subject: Scranton investigation To: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com> Meg, I hope your Mom is doing better. I need a firm date and time when I can look at the Scranton records. As you know the practice of reducing the rate of pay when the hours worked exceed the standard hours was a practice with almost all Nepali Providers. I have some of those cases and I have defenses that have been developed in the USDOL cases that may be claimed in a State action. The State law is very similar to the federal law, and is interpreted in light of federal law. We can discuss those when we meet and I can explain them. Before any decision can be proposed, I must have a complete understanding of what went on in Scranton for the last two years. You can call me if you choose to discuss this. There are a number of things to discuss regarding the entire situation. John John R. Linkosky John Linkosky & Assoc. Attorneys at Law 715 Washington Ave Carnegie, PA 15106 412-278-1280

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 131 of 153 PAGEID #: 26696

linklaw@comcast.net

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of John Linkosky Assoc. that may be privileged and confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the information immediately.

--

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

McKeegan, Hugh

From: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:11 PM

To: McKeegan, Hugh **Subject:** Fwd: SCR SAMPLE

This is 17/59

------ Forwarded message ------From: Linklaw < linklaw@comcast.net >
Date: Sat, Oct 2, 2021 at 1:07 PM

Subject: Re: SCR SAMPLE

To: Meg Subedi <<u>msubedi@intrahc.com</u>> Cc: John Linkosky <<u>linklaw@comcast.net</u>>

Thanks, Meg. Has Intra National stopped reducing the regular rate when more than the scheduled hours are worked by a DCW at all locations, and if so when? I also have another issue to discuss. I will call you.

John

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 30, 2021, at 1:39 PM, Meg Subedi < msubedi@intrahc.com> wrote:

TRY THIS ONE

On Thu, Sep 30, 2021 at 9:49 AM John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net> wrote:

Meg,

I cannot open all the records sent. Please end those that are not PDF in PDF.

Thanks. John

From: Meg Subedi <<u>msubedi@intrahc.com</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 4:22 PM
To: John R. Linkosky <<u>linklaw@comcast.net</u>>

Subject: SCR SAMPLE

KADARIYA DIL M TS 2019.pdf kadariya DIL M TS 2020.pdf Thank You Meg Subedi **Chief Finance Officer** Intra-National Homecare, LLC

INTRANATIONAL HOME CARE

Phone: 814-218-4970

Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

__

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

- <SAPKOTA CHET PS 2021.webloc>
- <SAPKOTA CHET PS 2020.pdf>
- <SAPKOTA CHET PS 2019.pdf>
- <SAPKOTA CHET 2019 TS.pdf>

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com>

McKeegan, Hugh

From:

Sent: To:	Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:11 PM McKeegan, Hugh
Subject:	Fwd: Scranton Investigation b PMWA
This is 18/59	
Forwarded message	
From: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@	Ocomcast.net>
Date: Thu, Sep 23, 2021 at 12:42 F Subject: RE: Scranton Investigatio	
To: Meg Subedi < msubedi@intrah	
-	
Thanks, Meg. Just give me a time	and date except for 9/27/2021.
John	
From: Meg Subedi < msubedi@int	
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 20 To: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@co	
Subject: Re: Scranton Investigatio	
Sure	
I will arrange next week.	
On Thu, Sep 23, 2021 at 12:30 PM	I John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net > wrote:
Meg,	
John	
	o you have time for me to come over and look at a sample of the records, and some
time sheets. I have never seen re	cords from Scranton.
Maybe we can save some rime.	
John	

Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com>

McKeegan, Hugh

From:

Sent:	Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:11 PM
To:	McKeegan, Hugh
Subject:	Fwd: Scranton Investigation b PMWA
This is 18/59	
·	
Forwarded message	
From: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@	
Date: Thu, Sep 23, 2021 at 12:42 F	
Subject: RE: Scranton Investigatio To: Meg Subedi < msubedi@intrah	
To. Meg Subeul \mathred intral	ic.com/
Thanks, Meg. Just give me a time	and date except for 9/27/2021.
John	
From: Meg Subedi < msubedi@int	
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 20 To: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@co	
Subject: Re: Scranton Investigatio	
Judject. Ne. Scramon investigatio	11 5 1 1414471
Sure	
I will arrange next week.	
On Thu, Sen 23, 2021 at 12:30 PM	I John R. Linkosky < <u>linklaw@comcast.net</u> > wrote:
Oπ τηα, 3cβ 23, 2021 αt 12.30 τ iv	Total R. Ellikosky <u>kimklaw@comedst.net</u> > wrote.
Meg,	
John	
	o you have time for me to come over and look at a sample of the records, and some
time sheets. I have never seen re	ecords from Scranton.
Name of the same o	
Maybe we can save some rime.	
John	

From: Meg Subedi < msubedi@intrahc.com >
Sent: Thursday, September 23, 2021 11:54 AM
To: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net >
Subject: Re: Scranton Investigation b PMWA

JOHN

I am working on it. It might take 2 weeks at max to complete it.

On Tue, Sep 21, 2021 at 12:08 PM John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net> wrote:

Meg,



John R. Linkosky

John Linkosky & Assoc.

Attorneys at Law

715 Washington Ave

Carnegie, PA 15106

412-278-1280

412-278-1282 (Fax)

linklaw@comcast.net

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 140 of 153 PAGEID #: 26705

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of John Linkosky Assoc. that may be privileged and confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the information immediately.
Thank You
Meg Subedi
Chief Finance Officer
Intra-National Homecare, LLC
Phone: 814-218-4970
Fax: 412-881-7101
This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.
Thank You

Meg Subedi
Chief Finance Officer
Intra-National Homecare, LLC
Phone: 814-218-4970
Fax: 412-881-7101
This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

Thank You
Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer
Intra-National Homecare, LLC
INTRANATIONAL HOME CARE 1914 EQUALIFICATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
Phone: 814-218-4970

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

Fax: 412-881-7101

McKeegan, Hugh

From: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:10 PM

To: McKeegan, Hugh **Subject:** Fwd: Ambika bhattarai

Attachments: AMBIKA BHATTARAI.pdf.webloc; AMBIKA BHATTARAI PAYSTUB 2019.pdf; AMBIKA

BHATTARAI EVV MIS PUNCH 2020.pdf; AMBIKA BHATTARAI 2021 EVV MIS PUNCH

2021.pdf; AMBIKA BHATTARAI TIME SHEET 2020.pdf

This is 16/59

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Meg Subedi < msubedi@intrahc.com > Date: Mon, Oct 4, 2021 at 10:22 AM

Subject: Ambika bhattarai

To: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net>



AMBIKA BHATTARAI TIME SHEET 2019.pdf

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

Thank You

McKeegan, Hugh

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com> Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:07 PM McKeegan, Hugh Fwd: Intra National - Scranton 2021 pay stubs</msubedi@intrahc.com>
This is 10/59	
Forwarded messag From: John R. Linkosky < <u>lir</u> Date: Fri, Nov 12, 2021 at 1 Subject: Intra National - Sc To: Meg Subedi < <u>msubedi</u> (nklaw@comcast.net> IO:39 AM ranton 2021 pay stubs
Meg,	
I followed your instructions pay stubs.	s regarding the thumb drive and that location has only time sheets. I need to see the 2021
Can you e-mail to me the ppaystubs and time sheet?	paystubs of 5-6 DCWs selected at random from each quarter of 2021, instead of all the 2021
Thanks, John	
John R. Linkosky	
John Linkosky & Assoc.	
Attorneys at Law	
715 Washington Ave	
Carnegie, PA 15106	
412-278-1280	
412-278-1282 (Fax)	
linklaw@comcast.net	

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 145 of 153 PAGEID #: 26710

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of John Linkosky Assoc. that may be privileged and confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the information immediately.

--

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

Mo	Keega	n, Hugh

Attorneys at Law

From: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com> Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:19 PM To: McKeegan, Hugh Subject: Fwd: DOL-Pittsburgh This is 25/59 ----- Forwarded message -----From: Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com> Date: Thu, Jun 25, 2020 at 4:55 PM Subject: Fwd: DOL-Pittsburgh To: INTRANATIONAL HOMECARE < dechintranational 1@yahoo.com> ----- Forwarded message -----From: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net> Date: Mon, Jun 22, 2020 at 2:56 PM Subject: DOL-Pittsburgh To: Meg Subedi < msubedi@intrahc.com > Cc: INTRANATIONAL HOMECARE <dechintranational1@yahoo.com>, Fox, Bruce <bru>
spruce.fox@obermayer.com> Meg, Please tell me if I understand correctly what was done in regard to overtime as of the first pay period on August 2018. As of the first pay period of August 20018, the hourly rate paid to caregivers was reduced- For example, Ahmed Nsaif was paid \$12.50 an hour, his rate was reduced to \$10.62, and he was paid time and one half that rate thereafter. He worked a fixed number of hours serving the consumer that were approved by the Service Coordinator. The regular hours were 124 each pay period. In pay period ending 12/16/18, he worked 116 hours and was paid \$10.83 and time and one half for the overtime. However, during the period 10/22/18 to 11/4/18 he worked 51 hours and was paid \$12.50 an hour. Was it the policy to pay the lower rate when there was overtime, and the higher rate when there was no overtime? John John R. Linkosky John Linkosky & Assoc.

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 148 of 153 PAGEID #: 26713

715 Washington Ave

Carnegie, PA 15106

412-278-1280

412-278-1282 (Fax)

linklaw@comcast.net

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of John Linkosky Assoc. that may be privileged and confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the information immediately.

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

McKeegan, Hugh		
From:	Meg Subedi <msubedi@intrahc.com< td=""></msubedi@intrahc.com<>	
Sent:	Tuesday, February 14, 2023 12:16 PM	
To:	McKeegan, Hugh	

Fwd: Records

This is 21/59

Subject:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net>

Date: Thu, Jul 2, 2020 at 11:57 AM

Subject: RE: Records

To: Meg Subedi < msubedi@intrahc.com >

Are the records sent at 11:00 AM Wednesday, payroll or calculations?

From: Meg Subedi <<u>msubedi@intrahc.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2020 11:27 AM
To: John R. Linkosky <<u>linklaw@comcast.net</u>>

Subject: Re: Records

\$13

On Thu, Jul 2, 2020 at 11:09 AM John R. Linkosky < linklaw@comcast.net> wrote:

Meg,

Please send the records sent in Google drive to me in Excel. Thanks. I have reviewed some of those from 2017 that were not in Google drive, those sent at 11:00 AM on Wednesday. I see overtime apparently calculated at \$6.50 an hour. What was the rate paid for the first 80 hours?

John

John R. Linkosky

Case: 2:21-cv-05076-EAS-KAJ Doc #: 101-1 Filed: 06/21/24 Page: 152 of 153 PAGEID #: 26717

John Linkosky & Assoc.
Attorneys at Law
715 Washington Ave
Carnegie, PA 15106
412-278-1280
412-278-1282 (Fax)
linklaw@comcast.net
This electronic message contains information from the law firm of John Linkosky Assoc. that may be privileged and confidential. The information is intended to be for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the information immediately.
Though Var.
Thank You
Meg Subedi
Chief Finance Officer
Intra-National Homecare, LLC
Phone: 814-218-4970
Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.

--

Thank You

Meg Subedi Chief Finance Officer Intra-National Homecare, LLC



Phone: 814-218-4970 Fax: 412-881-7101

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients(s) and may contain private, confidential, and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclose, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, employee, or agent responsible for delivering this message, please contact the sender by replying the email and destroy all the copies of the original email message.